

# PERTURBATIONS OF DIRAC OPERATORS

IGOR PROKHORENKOV AND KEN RICHARDSON

**ABSTRACT.** We study general conditions under which the computations of the index of a perturbed Dirac operator  $D_s = D + sZ$  localize to the singular set of the bundle endomorphism  $Z$  in the semi-classical limit  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . We show how to use Witten's method to compute the index of  $D$  by doing a combinatorial computation involving local data at the nondegenerate singular points of the operator  $Z$ . In particular, we provide examples of novel deformations of the de Rham operator to establish new results relating the Euler characteristic of a  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold to maps between its even and odd spinor bundles. The paper contains a list of the current literature on the subject.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

About 20 years ago E. Witten [85] introduced a beautiful new approach to proving Morse inequalities based on the deformation of the de Rham complex. His ideas were fruitfully applied in many different situations briefly outlined in the historical section at the end of the introduction.

**1.1. Example: Poincaré-Hopf theorem.** As a motivating example we sketch the Witten approach to the proof of the Poincaré-Hopf theorem. Let  $V$  be a smooth vector field on a smooth, closed manifold  $M$ . A point  $\bar{x} \in M$  is called a *singular* point of  $V$  if  $V(\bar{x}) = 0$ . In local coordinates  $(x_i)$  near  $\bar{x}$ , we write

$$V(x) = \sum_i V^i(x) \partial_i.$$

A singular point  $\bar{x}$  is called *non-degenerate* if

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial x_j} \right) (\bar{x}) \neq 0.$$

The property of being non-degenerate does not depend on the system of coordinates. All non-degenerate critical points are isolated, and there are only finite number of them. The *index* of  $\bar{x}$  is defined to be

$$\text{ind}(\bar{x}) = \text{sign} \det \left( \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial x_j} \right) (\bar{x}).$$

Let  $n^\pm$  denote the number of singular points with the index  $\pm 1$ . Then the *Poincaré-Hopf Theorem* asserts that

$$\chi(M) = n^+ - n^-, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\chi(M)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $M$ .

---

*Date:* July, 2004.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J20; 58J37; 58J50.

*Key words and phrases.* index, Witten deformation, perturbation, singularity, Dirac operator, localization.

In order to prove the Poincaré-Hopf theorem, Witten<sup>1</sup> introduced the following one-parameter deformation of the differential in the de Rham complex of  $M$  depending on a real parameter  $s$ :

$$d_s := d + sV \lrcorner : \Omega^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(M),$$

where  $V \lrcorner$  denotes the interior product. Choose a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$ , and let  $(d_s)^*$  be the adjoint operator with respect to the  $L^2$  inner product on the space  $\Omega^\bullet(M)$  of smooth forms. The corresponding deformation of the de Rham operator  $D = d + d^*$  is

$$D_s = d_s + d_s^* = d + d^* + s(V^\flat \wedge + V \lrcorner),$$

where  $V^\flat$  denotes the 1-form dual to  $V$ . The deformed de Rham operator is a first order, elliptic differential operator that is in fact a perturbed Dirac operator acting on the Clifford module of exterior forms on  $M$  (see Section 6.1). We denote the restrictions of  $D_s$  to even or odd forms as  $D_s^\pm : \Omega^\pm(M) \rightarrow \Omega^\mp(M)$ . The *graded Witten Laplacian* is

$$(D_s^2)^\pm := D_s^\mp \circ D_s^\pm : \Omega^\pm(M) \rightarrow \Omega^\pm(M).$$

Since the index of the elliptic operator does not depend on the lower order terms, for each  $s$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(M) &= \text{ind}(D_s) = \dim \ker(D_s^+) - \dim \ker(D_s^-) \\ &= \dim \ker\left((D_s)^2|_{\Omega^+(M)}\right) - \dim \ker\left((D_s)^2|_{\Omega^-(M)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

An easy calculation shows that the Witten Laplacian  $(D_s)^2$  has the form

$$(D_s)^2 = (d_s + d_s^*)^2 = (d + d^*)^2 + s^2|V|^2 + sB,$$

where  $B$  is a smooth bundle map. For very large  $s$ , the “potential energy”  $s^2|V|^2$  becomes very large except in a small neighborhood of the singular set of  $V$ . As explained in the original paper of Witten [85] and made rigorous in subsequent works by other researchers (see next section for citations), the eigenforms of  $(D_s)^2$  concentrate near the singular points of  $V$ . There are asymptotic formulas for the eigenvalues of the Witten Laplacian in terms of data at the singular set of  $V$ . Local computations then complete the proof of the Poincaré-Hopf theorem.

**1.2. The content of the paper.** The purpose of this paper is to study general conditions under which one can use the method of Witten deformations to obtain an expression for the index of a Dirac operator in terms of local quantities associated to a singular set of a given bundle map. We now describe the setup of our paper. See Section 8 for a review of graded Clifford bundles and Dirac operators.

Let  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  be a graded self-adjoint Clifford module over a closed, smooth, Riemannian manifold  $M$ . Let  $\Gamma(M, E)$  denote the space of smooth sections of  $E$  and  $D : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  be the Dirac operator associated to a Clifford module  $E$ .

Let  $D^\pm : \Gamma(M, E^\pm) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^\mp)$  denote the restrictions of the Dirac operator to smooth even or odd sections. Observe that  $D^- = (D^+)^*$ , the  $L^2$ -adjoint of  $D^+$ . Let  $Z^+ : \Gamma(M, E^+) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^-)$  be a smooth bundle map, and we let  $Z^-$  denote the adjoint of  $Z^+$ . The operator  $Z$  on  $\Gamma(M, E)$ , defined by  $Z(v^+ + v^-) = Z^-v^- + Z^+v^+$  for any  $v^+ \in E_x^+$  and  $v^- \in E_x^-$ , is

---

<sup>1</sup>In [85] Witten mostly considered the case when  $V$  is a Killing vector field.

self-adjoint. A *generalized Witten deformation* of  $D$  is a family  $D_s$  of perturbed differential operators

$$D_s = (D + sZ) : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E).$$

We define the operators  $D_s^\pm$  by restricting in the obvious ways. Our definition includes the known examples of Witten deformation as special cases.

It is well-known [2] that the index  $\text{ind}(D^+)$  of  $D^+$  depends only on the homotopy type of the principal symbol and satisfies

$$\text{ind}(D) = \dim \ker \left( (D_s)^2|_{\Gamma(M, E^+)} \right) - \dim \ker \left( (D_s)^2|_{\Gamma(M, E^-)} \right).$$

Thus, we need to study the operator

$$(D_s)^2 = D^2 + s(ZD + DZ) + s^2 Z^2.$$

The leading order behavior of the eigenvalues of this operator as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  is determined by combinatorial data at the singular set of the operator  $Z$ . This “localization” allows one to compute  $\text{ind}(D)$  in terms of that data.

The results of this paper hold if the perturbation  $Z$  is a *proper perturbation*. That is, it satisfies two conditions:

- (1)  $(D_s)^2 - (D)^2 = s(ZD + DZ) + s^2 Z^2$  is a bundle map.
- (2) Each singular point  $\bar{x}$  of  $Z$  is a *proper singular point*; that is,
  - (a)  $Z(\bar{x}) = 0$ .
  - (b) In local coordinates  $x$  on a sufficiently small neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$ , there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma(U, E)$  and all  $x \in U$ ,

$$\|Z\alpha\|_x \geq c|x - \bar{x}| \|\alpha\|_x,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_x$  is the pointwise norm on  $E_x$ .

We should note that in the important case when  $Z$  is Clifford multiplication by a vector field, Condition (1) is not satisfied, and localization typically does not occur, (see Section 7).<sup>2</sup> In the proof of the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem,  $Z = V^\flat \wedge + V^\sharp$ , and Condition (2) reduces to the requirement that the vector field has nondegenerate zeros.

In Section 2, we classify the possible gradings of  $E$  compatible with the existence of such  $Z$ . We also establish necessary and sufficient conditions on the dimension of  $E$  and on the form of the operator  $Z$  in order for (1) and (2) to be satisfied.

In Section 3, we show that conditions (1) and (2) imply that the singular set of  $Z$  consists of a finite number of non-degenerate zeros, and as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  the bounded spectrum of the Witten Laplacian localizes to the singular set of  $Z$ . This means that the index of  $D$  can be computed by studying the zero spectrum of limiting “model” operators, which turn out to be harmonic oscillators. The main tool is the localization theorem of M. Shubin [78].

If a proper perturbation with no singularities exists, then the index is zero. In particular, let  $E^\pm \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes W)^\pm = (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^\pm) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes W^\mp)$  be any graded, self-adjoint Clifford module over an even dimensional,  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold  $M$  (all such Clifford modules have this form; see Corollary 8.2 in the appendix). Then the index of the Dirac operator corresponding to this Clifford module is zero if the bundles  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  are isomorphic (see Corollary 3.5).

---

<sup>2</sup>If the vector field is a generator of an action by a one-parameter group of isometries, the localization occurs when the Witten Laplacian is restricted to each eigenspace of the Lie derivative associated to this generator. We plan to treat this situation in a paper currently under preparation.

In Section 4, we consider an elliptic operator of the form  $Q = \sum (A_j \partial_j + x_j B_j)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that each  $A_j$  and  $B_k$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix and  $\sum x_k B_k$  is a proper perturbation. We prove that  $Q$  is Fredholm and that continuous families of such operators have the same index.

The main results of the paper are Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.4, which express the index of the Dirac operator  $D$  in terms of the local information at the singular points. We show in Theorem 5.1 that the index of the Dirac operator  $D$  is the sum of indices of operators on vector-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as in Section 4, where the coefficients  $A_j$  and  $B_k$  depend only on local data at each singular point  $\bar{x}$ . Assuming typical properties of  $Z$  near each  $\bar{x}$ , the indices may be computed more explicitly, as shown in Theorem 5.4. We emphasize strongly that all the information necessary to compute the index of  $D$  is contained in the set of matrices of first derivatives of  $Z$  and in the Clifford matrices taken at each singular point  $\bar{x}$ . Thus this information is local in nature, and the answer is easily obtainable.

In Section 6 we apply our results to the geometric Dirac operators. In particular, we use Corollary 5.6 to obtain the Poincaré-Hopf theorem. Our proof also yields a new result, that the Euler characteristic of an even dimensional,  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold is zero if and only if the even and odd  $\text{spin}^c$  bundles are isomorphic (see Corollary 6.7). In Theorem 6.11, we show that the Euler characteristic of a  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold is the sum of the indices of zeros of a possibly singular section of the conformal pin bundle over the manifold. Thus, the Euler characteristic is zero if and only if the odd pin bundle  $\text{Pin}^-(T^*M)$  has a global section. In Section 6.3, we use our results to show that if  $M$  is a submanifold of odd codimension in a manifold endowed with a graded Clifford module, then the index of the Dirac operator associated to the restriction of this Clifford bundle to  $M$  is zero.

**1.3. Review of literature.** Witten deformation was first introduced in [85], where the author sketched a beautiful proof of the Morse inequalities by deforming the de Rham complex (see also [16], [36], [51], [57], [78], [77],[76]). In addition, using the ideas of quantum field theory (supersymmetry and instantons) Witten explained how to derive analytically the entire Morse complex. In [55] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand put Witten's analysis on a rigorous footing. See also current introductions to the subject in [26], [27], and [35]. A recent discussion of connections between the spectral theory and semiclassical analysis of the Witten Laplacian and the notion of hypoellipticity is given in the lecture notes by B. Helffer [54]. In addition Witten suggested a way to use his method to prove the Poincaré-Hopf theorem. Rigorous treatments of his ideas in this direction are contained in [39], [79], [90], and [92].

Witten obtained holomorphic Morse inequalities by the same method in [86]. The asymptotic holomorphic Morse inequalities, were proved by J.-P. Demailly and J.-M Bismut (see [37], [38], [81], [10]). Equivariant holomorphic Morse inequalities were investigated by V. Mathai, S. Wu, and W. Zhang in a series of papers [65], [88], and [89].

In [9] J.-M. Bismut modified the Witten deformation technique and combined it with intricate and deep ideas of probability theory to produce a new proof of the degenerate Morse-Bott inequalities. A more accessible proof, based on the adiabatic technique of Mazzeo-Melrose and Forman ([67], [44]) instead of probability considerations, was given by I. Prokhorenkov in [73]; see also [22], [56], and [58] for different proofs and generalizations.

A. V. Pazhitnov [72] used the method of Witten to prove some of the Morse-Novikov inequalities — that is, when the gradient of Morse function is replaced in the deformation by a closed, nondegenerate one-form [69]. Novikov inequalities for vector fields were established by M. A. Shubin in an influential paper [79]. Shubin's results were extended by M. Braverman

and M. Farber [22] to the case when the one-form (or corresponding vector field) has non-isolated zeros, and by H. Feng and E. Guo to the case of more general vector fields in [42] and [43]. The equivariant Morse-Novikov inequalities were proved in [23] (see also [83]). The Novikov-Witten complex was constructed by D. Burghelea and S. Haller in [32]. Holomorphic Morse inequalities for Kähler manifolds in the presence of a holomorphic circle action and their applications to symplectic reduction were studied by M. Braverman in [18]. M. Calaza [33] proved a generalization of Morse inequalities for an orbit space.

J. Alvarez López [4] used the method of Witten to prove Morse inequalities for the invariant cohomology of the space of orbits of a pseudogroup of isometries with applications to the basic cohomology of Riemann foliations. V. Belfi, E. Park, and K. Richardson [5] used the Witten deformation of the basic Laplacian to prove an analog of the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem for Riemannian foliations. It should be noted that there are no known proofs of these foliation results by methods that do not use the Witten deformation technique.

A combinatorial analog of Witten deformation was introduced by R. Forman in [45] and [46]. Forman's ideas were extended by V. Mathai and S. Yates in [66] to the case of infinite cell complexes, thus obtaining discrete analogs of Morse  $L^2$  inequalities.

Witten deformation proved to be very productive in studying relations between analytic and combinatorial torsions. Deep results in these direction were obtained in [14], [15], [12], [17], [19], [28], [29], and [30].

In [78], [80], and [64] M. A. Shubin and V. Mathai used the Witten deformation  $(d_s + d_s^*)^2$  of the Laplacian to study  $L^2$  Morse inequalities for regular covering manifolds and flat Hilbert bundles over compact manifolds. A nice survey of these results can be found in [63]. The first paper [78] also contains a very useful analysis of model operators appearing as limits of localizing deformed operators. A recent preprint [60] of Y. Kordyukov, V. Mathai, and M. Shubin extends the analysis to the case of projectively invariant elliptic operators with invariant Morse type potentials on covering spaces of compact manifolds.

Some interesting applications to manifolds with negative curvature are discussed in [40] and [62]. Here again, other approaches are known to work.

Applications of Witten analysis to statistical physics are discussed in [52], [53], [59], [82], and [84].

An approach to the Hodge theory on topologically tame non-compact manifolds by means of a Witten Laplacian with a potential rapidly increasing at infinity was suggested by E. Bueler and I. Prokhorenkov in [24], [73], and [25]. Further results about Witten Laplacians on non-compact manifolds were obtained in [3], [41], [48], [49], and [50].

W. Zhang [91] used the following modification of Witten deformation

$$D_V = \frac{1}{2} (c(V)(d + d^*) - (d + d^*)c(V)),$$

where  $c(V)$  denotes Clifford multiplication by a nowhere zero vector field  $V$ , to study the Kervaire semicharacteristic of odd-dimensional compact manifolds. For a recent survey of these and other applications of Witten deformations, see [92].

## 2. PERTURBING DIRAC OPERATORS

**2.1. Preliminaries and Notational Conventions.** Throughout this paper, the manifold  $M$  is always assumed to be a smooth, closed, oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , and  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  is assumed to be a graded, self-adjoint, Hermitian Clifford module over  $M$ . If  $M$  is  $\text{spin}^c$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  always denotes a complex spinor bundle over  $M$ , a particular

example of such a bundle  $E$ . We define  $D : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  to be the corresponding Dirac operator, and let  $D^\pm : \Gamma(M, E^\pm) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^\mp)$  denote the restrictions of  $D$  to smooth even and odd sections. The operator  $D^-$  is the adjoint of  $D^+$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -metric on  $\Gamma(M, E)$  defined by the Riemannian metric on  $M$  and the Hermitian metric on  $E$ .

In the following, we denote Clifford multiplication by an element  $v \in T_x M$  on the fiber  $E_x$  by  $c(v)$ . Clifford multiplication by cotangent vectors will use the same notation:  $c(\alpha) := c(\alpha^\#)$ , where  $T_x^* M \xrightarrow{\#} T_x M$  is the metric isomorphism.

The natural grading on  $E$  is induced by the action of the chirality operator  $\gamma$ . Recall that if  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_x M$ , then the chirality operator is multiplication by

$$\gamma = i^k c(e_1) \dots c(e_n) \in \text{End}(E_x),$$

where  $k = n/2$  if  $n$  is even and  $k = (n+1)/2$  if  $n$  is odd. In this paper we study the other possible gradings as well. See the appendix (Section 8) for more information.

Let  $Z^+ \in \Gamma(M, \text{Hom}(E^+, E^-))$  be a smooth bundle map, and let  $Z^-$  denote the adjoint of  $Z^+$ . The operator  $Z$  on  $\Gamma(M, E)$ , defined by  $Z(v^+ + v^-) = Z^- v^- + Z^+ v^+$  for any  $v^+ \in E_x^+$  and  $v^- \in E_x^-$ , is self-adjoint. Let  $D_s$  denote the perturbed Dirac operator

$$D_s = (D + sZ) : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E), \quad (2.1)$$

and define the operators  $D_s^\pm$  by restricting in the obvious ways.

**2.2. Nonexistence of perturbations compatible with the natural grading.** For any differential operator  $L$ , let  $\sigma_L$  denote its principal symbol. We will start with the result that holds for general first-order operators.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $L : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  be a smooth, first-order differential operator, and let  $Z : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  be a bundle endomorphism. Then the operator  $LZ + ZL$  is a bundle map if and only if  $Z \circ \sigma_L(x, \xi) + \sigma_L(x, \xi) \circ Z = 0$  on  $E_x$  for every  $x \in M$ ,  $\xi \in T_x^* M$ .*

*Proof.* The differential operator  $ZL + LZ$  is zeroth order if and only if it commutes with multiplication  $m_f$  by any smooth function  $f$  on  $M$ . We calculate the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [ZL + LZ, m_f] &= Z[L, m_f] + [L, m_f]Z \text{ since } Z \text{ is zeroth order} \\ &= i(Z \circ \sigma_L(df) + \sigma_L(L)(df) \circ Z), \end{aligned}$$

where for any 1-form  $\alpha$  on  $M$ ,  $\sigma_L(\alpha)$  is the bundle endomorphism defined by  $\sigma_L(\alpha)|_x = \sigma_L(x, \alpha_x)$ .  $\square$

Since

$$(D_s)^2 - D^2 = s(ZD + DZ) + s^2 Z^2, \quad (2.2)$$

we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.2.** *For any  $s \neq 0$  the operator  $(D_s)^2 - D^2$  is zeroth order if and only if  $Z \circ \sigma_D(x, \xi) + \sigma_D(x, \xi) \circ Z = 0$  on  $E_x$  for every  $x \in M$ ,  $\xi \in T_x^* M$ .*

**Remark 2.3.** *Corollary 2.2 is true in even greater generality, such as when  $D$  is a first-order, classical pseudodifferential operator. However, in this paper we consider only differential operators.*

A bundle endomorphism  $Z$  satisfying the condition in Corollary 2.2 does not always exist. In particular, the following result applies to the  $\text{spin}^c$  Dirac operator, whose principal symbol is  $ic(\xi)$  (for  $\xi \in T^*M$ ).

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $V$  be an even-dimensional, oriented, Euclidean vector space. Let  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$  denote the associated space of complex spinors. There does not exist a linear map  $Z : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  such that  $Z \circ c(v) + c(v) \circ Z = 0$  for every  $v \in V$  and such that  $Z$  restricts to a map  $Z : \mathbb{S}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^-$ .*

*Proof.* Any endomorphism of  $\mathbb{S}$  can be written as Clifford multiplication by an element of  $\mathbb{Cl}(V)$ , so that the result is equivalent to the statement that no element of  $\mathbb{Cl}(V)$  anticommutes with every vector. This is a consequence of the elementary fact that if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Cl}(V)$  anticommutes with every vector, then  $\alpha$  is a complex multiple of the chirality operator. Since this element maps  $\mathbb{S}^+$  to itself, the result follows.  $\square$

The corollary below is a generalization.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $V$  be an even-dimensional, oriented, Euclidean vector space. Let  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$  denote the associated space of complex spinors. Let  $W$  be another vector space, and consider the Clifford action  $\tilde{c}(v) = c(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}$  on  $\mathbb{S} \otimes W$ . There does not exist a linear map  $Z : \mathbb{S} \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \otimes W$  such that  $Z \circ \tilde{c}(v) + \tilde{c}(v) \circ Z = 0$  for every  $v \in V$  and such that  $Z$  restricts to a map  $Z : \mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^- \otimes W$ .*

*Proof.* Endow  $W$  with a Euclidean (or Hermitian) metric. Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  of  $W$ . Write

$$Z(v \otimes w_i) = \sum_j Z_{ij}(v) \otimes w_j;$$

the corollary follows from the proposition applied to each linear operator  $Z_{ij}$  separately.  $\square$

**Example 2.6.** *The Dolbeault and signature operators do not have such perturbations, because in both cases the Clifford action has the form of Corollary 2.5.*

**2.3. Admissible Perturbations.** The following results determine the precise form of perturbations  $Z$  satisfying the condition in Corollary 2.2 if  $D$  is the Dirac operator associated to a Clifford bundle over a  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $M$  be even-dimensional and  $\text{spin}^c$ . Let  $E^\pm \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes W)^\pm = (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^\pm) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes W^\mp)$  be any Clifford module over  $M$  (see Corollary 8.2 in the appendix). Suppose that there is a bundle endomorphism  $Z^+ : \Gamma(M, (\mathbb{S} \otimes W)^+) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, (\mathbb{S} \otimes W)^-)$  such that the self-adjoint operator  $Z = (Z^+, (Z^+)^*) : \mathbb{S} \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \otimes W$  anticommutes with Clifford multiplication by vectors. Then  $Z$  has the form  $Z = \gamma \otimes \phi$ , where  $\gamma$  is the chirality operator on  $\mathbb{S}$  and where  $\phi^+ : W^+ \rightarrow W^-$  is a bundle map with  $\phi = (\phi^+, (\phi^+)^*)$ . Conversely, any bundle endomorphism of that form anticommutes with Clifford multiplication by vectors.*

*Proof.* The action of  $Z$  on  $\mathbb{S} \otimes W$  has the following local form. For a local orthonormal basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$  of  $W$  and any  $\alpha \in \Gamma(M, \mathbb{S})$ ,

$$Z(\alpha \otimes b_i) = \sum_j Z_{ij}(\alpha) \otimes b_j,$$

where each operator  $Z_{ij}$  must anticommute with Clifford multiplication by vectors. Thus  $Z_{ij} = c_{ij} \gamma(\alpha)$  for some complex scalar  $c_{ij}$  and

$$Z(\alpha \otimes b_i) = \sum_j c_{ij} \gamma(\alpha) \otimes b_j = \gamma(s) \otimes \sum_j c_{ij} b_j.$$

The operator  $\gamma$  restricts to  $\mathbf{1}$  on  $\mathbb{S}^+$  and  $-\mathbf{1}$  on  $\mathbb{S}^-$ . The result follows from the hypothesis and the equation above.  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** *The case when  $W^-$  is zero-dimensional is reflected in Corollary 2.5, where the only possible bundle map  $\phi$  is the zero map.*

**Remark 2.9.** *The restriction of such a  $\phi$  to a fiber is invertible if and only if  $\dim W^+ = \dim W^-$ .*

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $M$  be odd dimensional and  $\text{spin}^c$ . Fix the representation  $c^+$  of  $\text{Cl}(TM)$ . Let  $E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes (W' \oplus W')$  be as in Corollary 8.2. Suppose that there exists a self-adjoint endomorphism  $Z = (Z^+, (Z^+)^*) : E \rightarrow E$  that anticommutes with the Clifford multiplication  $(c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}, c^-(v) \otimes \mathbf{1})$  by all vectors  $v \in TM$ . Then  $Z$  has the form  $Z = \mathbf{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi \\ -\phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi : W' \rightarrow W'$  is a skew-adjoint bundle map. Conversely, any bundle endomorphism of that form is self-adjoint and anticommutes with Clifford multiplication by vectors.*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Cl}^+ \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{S})$  in odd dimensions, no nonzero element of  $\text{End}(\mathbb{S})$  anticommutes with Clifford multiplication  $c^+$  by all vectors. An endomorphism  $Z$  that anticommutes with

$$\begin{aligned} c^E(v) &= (c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}, c^-(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\ &= c^+(v) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for all vectors  $v$  must have the following properties. First,  $Z : \mathbb{S} \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \otimes W$  must commute with all maps of the form  $A \otimes \mathbf{I}$  with  $A \in \text{End}(\mathbb{S})$ , because any such map can be realized as multiplication by an element in  $\text{Cl}^+$  in odd dimensions. It follows easily that  $Z$  can be expressed in the form  $Z = \mathbf{1} \otimes Z'$ , where  $Z' = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \\ Z_3 & Z_4 \end{pmatrix}$  is an endomorphism of  $W' \oplus W'$ . Because  $Z'$  maps  $\text{span}\{(w, \pm w) \in W' \oplus W' \mid w \in W'\}$  to  $\text{span}\{(w, \mp w) \in W' \oplus W' \mid w \in W'\}$ ,  $Z_1 \pm Z_2 = \mp Z_3 - Z_4$ . These equations imply that  $Z_4 = -Z_1$  and  $Z_3 = -Z_2$ . Because  $Z$  anticommutes with  $c^E(v)$ ,  $Z_1 = Z_4 = 0$ . Self-adjointness of  $Z$  implies further that  $Z_2$  is skew-adjoint, and the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.11.** *If  $M$  is not  $\text{spin}^c$ , Propositions 2.7 and 2.10 above remain true locally.*

**2.4. Proper perturbations of Dirac Operators.** In this section, we state the nondegeneracy conditions on the perturbation. In previously studied types of perturbations, our conditions are equivalent to those required by others (see the introduction).

**Definition 2.12.** *Let  $Z : E \rightarrow E$  be a smooth bundle map. We say that  $\bar{x} \in M$  is a **proper singular point** of  $Z$  if on a sufficiently small neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$  we have*

- (1)  $Z|_{\bar{x}} = 0$ , and
- (2) *in local coordinates  $x$  on  $U$ , there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma(U, E)$ ,*

$$\|Z\alpha\|_x \geq c|x - \bar{x}| \|\alpha\|_x,$$

*where  $\|\cdot\|_x$  is the pointwise norm on  $E_x$ .*

**Lemma 2.13.** *A point  $\bar{x} \in M$  is a proper singular point of  $Z$  if and only if, in local coordinates  $x$  on  $U$ , there exist invertible bundle maps  $Z_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n = \dim M$  over  $U$  such that  $Z = \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j Z_j$  on  $U$ , and  $Z$  is invertible over  $U \setminus \{\bar{x}\}$ .*



*Proof.* Since  $Z$  is smooth and vanishes at  $\bar{x}$ ,  $Z = \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j Z_j$  for some bundle maps  $Z_j$ . The inequality in the definition above is equivalent to

$$\left\| \sum_j \sigma_j Z_j \alpha \right\|_x^2 \geq c^2$$

for every  $\sigma \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma(\bar{U}, E)$ ,  $x \in U$  such that  $\|\alpha\|_x = 1$ . Since the left hand side of the inequality is a continuous function of  $\sigma$  and  $\alpha$  over the compact set  $S^{n-1} \times \{\alpha \in \Gamma(\bar{U}, E) \mid \|\alpha\|_x = 1 \text{ for all } x \in U\}$ , its infimum is attained. It follows that  $Z$  is invertible away from  $\bar{x}$  if and only if the inequality holds.  $\square$

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $D^\pm : \Gamma(M, E^\pm) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^\mp)$  be the Dirac operator associated to a bundle of graded Clifford modules. Let  $D_s = D + sZ$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $Z = (Z^+, (Z^+)^*) \in \Gamma(M, \text{End}(E^+, E^-))$ . We say that  $Z$  is a **proper perturbation of  $D$**  if

- (1)  $(D_s)^2 - D^2$  is a zeroth order operator.
- (2) All singular points of  $Z$  are proper.

**Remark 2.15.** If  $M$  is compact, then the number of singular points of a proper perturbation is finite.

The following lemma will be used to quantify ranks of vector bundles on which the proper perturbations act.

**Lemma 2.16.** There exists a linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$  that satisfies  $L(x) \in \text{Gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$  for  $x \neq 0$  if and only if  $r = m2^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$  for some positive integer  $m$ .

*Proof.* Since  $L(x)$  could be Clifford multiplication by the vector  $x$  on the  $r$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ , ranks of the form  $r = m2^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$  are realizable. If  $k$  is even, the image of the restriction of Clifford multiplication to  $\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$  has rank  $r = m2^{\frac{k}{2}-1}$ . Hence for all positive integers  $k$  and  $m$ , there exist linear maps  $L : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$  with  $r = m2^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$  and  $L(x) \in \text{Gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

<sup>3</sup>Next, suppose that a linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow M_r(\mathbb{C})$  satisfies  $L(x) \in \text{Gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$  for  $x \neq 0$ , for some positive integers  $k$  and  $r$ . Such a map restricts to a map  $L : S^{k-1} \rightarrow \text{Gl}(r, \mathbb{C})$  with  $L(-x) = -L(x)$ . Consider the vector bundles  $r\mathbf{T} = \mathbb{R}P^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r$  and  $rL_k$  ( $r$  times the complexification of the canonical line bundle) over the projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}P^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r &= S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r / (x, y) \sim (-x, y), \text{ and} \\ rL_k &= S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r / (x, y) \sim (-x, -y). \end{aligned}$$

The map  $f : S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r$  defined by  $f(x, y) = (x, L(x)y)$  induces an isomorphism between  $rL_k$  and  $r\mathbf{T}$ . Thus, the virtual bundle  $r(L_k - \mathbf{T})$  represents the zero element in the reduced complex K-group  $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{R}P^{k-1})$ . Since

$$\tilde{K}(\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}}$$

with generator  $L_k - \mathbf{T}$  (see [1]), we must have  $r = m2^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}$  for some positive integer  $m$ .  $\square$

The following two theorems give necessary and sufficient conditions on the bundle  $E$  and the bundle map  $Z$  in order that  $Z$  be a proper perturbation.

---

<sup>3</sup>This part of the argument is provided in [74] and [75].

**Theorem 2.17.** *Suppose that the dimension  $n$  of  $M$  is even. Let  $Z$  be a proper perturbation of  $D$  on  $\Gamma(M, E)$ , with notation as in Definition 2.14. Let  $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_N\}$  be the singular points of  $Z$ , and let  $U_l$  be the neighborhood of  $\bar{x}_l$  as in the definition. Over each  $U_l$ , choose a local  $\text{spin}^c$  bundle and isomorphism  $E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes W$  as in Proposition 2.7. Then the following conditions must be satisfied.*

- (1) *The local bundles  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  from Proposition 2.7 must have the same dimension, which implies that the rank of  $E$  must be a multiple of  $2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ . If the set of singular points is nonempty, then the rank must be of the form  $m2^n$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer.*
- (2) *Near each singular point  $\bar{x}$ , the bundle map  $Z$  has the form  $Z = \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j \gamma \otimes \phi_j$ , with notation as in Definition 2.14 and Proposition 2.7, where each  $\phi_j^+ : W^+ \rightarrow W^-$  is a locally defined bundle isomorphism with  $\phi_j = (\phi_j^+, (\phi_j^+)^*)$ .*

*Conversely, every graded, self-adjoint bundle map  $Z$  that has a finite set of singular points and satisfies the two conditions above is a proper perturbation.*

*Proof.* The first part of the first condition and the second condition follow directly from Proposition 2.7. To prove the first condition in the case where the set of singular points is nonempty, suppose that  $\bar{x}$  is a singular point of  $Z$ , and choose coordinates centered at  $\bar{x}$ . Identify  $W_{\bar{x}}^+ \cong W_{\bar{x}}^- \cong \mathbb{C}^d$  for the appropriate positive integer  $d$ . Define  $L(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \phi_j^+(\bar{x}) : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ ; the hypotheses imply that  $L(x)$  is invertible for each  $x \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$d = m2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} = m2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$$

for some positive integer  $m$ , by Lemma 2.16. Since the dimension of  $\mathbb{S}$  is  $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , the statement follows. The converse is clear.  $\square$

**Remark 2.18.** *The given minimal rank  $2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}$  is sharp, since the example given in Proposition 6.14 has precisely that rank.*

**Theorem 2.19.** *Suppose that the dimension  $n$  of  $M$  is odd. Let  $Z$  be a proper perturbation of  $D$  on  $\Gamma(M, E)$ , with notation as in Definition 2.14. Let  $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_N\}$  be the singular points of  $Z$ , and let  $U_l$  be the neighborhood of  $\bar{x}_l$  as in the definition. Over each  $U_l$ , choose a local  $\text{spin}^c$  bundle and isomorphism  $E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes (W' \oplus W')$  as in Proposition 2.10. Then the following condition must be satisfied.*

- (1) *If the set of singular points is empty, there is no further restriction on the rank of  $E$ ; that is, it need only be a multiple of  $2^{\frac{(n+1)}{2}}$ . If the set of singular points is nonempty, then the rank of  $E$  must have the form  $m2^n$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer.*
- (2) *Near each singular point, the bundle map  $Z$  has the form  $Z = \sum_j x_j \mathbf{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi_j \\ -\phi_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  as in Proposition 2.10 and in Definition 2.14, where each  $\phi_j : W' \rightarrow W'$  is a locally defined, skew-adjoint bundle isomorphism.*

*Conversely, every graded, self-adjoint bundle map  $Z$  that has a finite set of singular points and satisfies the two conditions above is a proper perturbation.*

*Proof.* The second condition follows directly from Proposition 2.10. To prove the first condition in the case where the set of singular points is nonempty, choose local coordinates  $x$  centered at a singular point  $\bar{x}$ . Identify  $W_{\bar{x}}' \cong \mathbb{C}^d$  for the appropriate positive integer  $d$ .

Define  $L(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \phi_j(\bar{x}) : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ ; the hypotheses imply that  $L(x)$  is invertible for each  $x \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$d = m2 \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor = m2(\frac{n-1}{2})$$

for some positive integer  $m$ , by Lemma 2.16. This proves the statement since the dimension of  $\mathbb{S}$  is  $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ , and the converse follows easily.  $\square$

The following result shows that nonsingular proper perturbations always exist on Clifford modules over an odd dimensional manifold.

**Proposition 2.20.** *Suppose that the dimension  $n$  of  $M$  is odd. Let  $E$  be a bundle of graded Clifford modules over  $M$ , and let  $D$  be the corresponding Dirac operator. Then there always exists a proper perturbation  $Z$  of  $D$ ; in particular the perturbation may be chosen to be invertible.*

*Proof.* To prove that proper perturbations always exist, we simply take  $\phi = i\mathbf{1}$  in Proposition 2.10.  $\square$

**Remark 2.21.** *Note that the perturbation in the proof with  $W' = \mathbb{C}$  acts on a bundle of rank  $2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ , so that the minimal rank in Theorem 2.19 is sharp.*

### 3. LOCALIZATION

In this section we will use [79, Proposition 1.2] to study the asymptotics of the spectrum of  $D_s^2$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . (See also [78].)

Let

$$\begin{aligned} H_s &: = s^{-1} (D_s)^2 = s^{-1} D^2 + ZD + DZ + sZ^2 \\ &= -s^{-1}A + B + sC, \end{aligned}$$

where  $-A = D^2$  is a second order, elliptic, self-adjoint operator with a non-negative principal symbol, and the operators  $B = ZD + DZ$  and  $C = Z^2$  are self-adjoint bundle maps.

Each of the operators  $H_s$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  has two self-adjoint components, acting on  $\Gamma(M, E^+)$  and on  $\Gamma(M, E^-)$ , respectively. For example,

$$H_s^+ := s^{-1} (D_s)^2|_{\Gamma(M, E^+)} = s^{-1} D_s^- D_s^+ : \Gamma(M, E^+) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^+), \text{ and}$$

$$B^- := (ZD + DZ)|_{\Gamma(M, E^-)} = Z^+ D^- + D^+ Z^- : \Gamma(M, E^-) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E^-).$$

We now describe a model operator: a matrix harmonic oscillator that will serve as an approximation of  $(D_s)^2$  near  $\bar{x}$ , a singular point of  $C$ . We choose local coordinates  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and a trivialization of  $E$  near  $\bar{x}$ . We assume that the volume associated to the Riemannian metric  $g$  is the Lebesgue volume element at the point  $\bar{x}$  (this is easily done by rescaling if necessary).

In the neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$ , operator  $A$  becomes a  $2m \times 2m$  block diagonal differential operator with two  $m \times m$  blocks, where  $m = \text{rank} E^+ = \text{rank} E^-$ . It has the form

$$A = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} A_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + A^{(1)}.$$

Note that the operator  $A^{(1)}$  is at most first order. Let

$$A^{(2)} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} A_{ij}(\bar{x}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j};$$

i.e.  $A^{(2)}$  is the highest order part of  $A$  taken at  $x = \bar{x}$ , it is a homogeneous second order differential operator with constant coefficients that are  $2m \times 2m$  block diagonal Hermitian matrices.

We denote  $\bar{B} = B(\bar{x})$ , so  $\bar{B}$  is just a  $2m \times 2m$  block diagonal Hermitian matrix in the chosen trivialization of  $E$ .

We also define

$$C^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 C_{ij}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\bar{x}) x_i x_j,$$

i.e.  $C^{(2)}$  is the quadratic part of the potential  $C$  near  $\bar{x}$ .

We define the *model operator*  $K$  of  $H_s$  at a singular point  $\bar{x}$  to be

$$K(\bar{x}) = -A^{(2)} + \bar{B} + C^{(2)}.$$

We denote  $m \times m$  blocks of  $K(\bar{x})$  as  $K^\pm(\bar{x})$ . Each operator  $K^+(\bar{x})$  and  $K^-(\bar{x})$  has discrete spectrum, since it is a quantum Hamiltonian of a  $k$ -dimensional harmonic oscillator [78].

Let  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_N$  be the list of all singular points. Let

$$K^\pm = \bigoplus_{l=1}^N K^\pm(\bar{x}_l)$$

be the model operators for  $H_s^\pm$  on the set of all singular points of  $C = Z^2$ . Denote the eigenvalues of  $K^\pm$  by

$$\mu_1^\pm < \mu_2^\pm < \mu_3^\pm < \dots$$

and their multiplicities by

$$p_1^\pm, p_2^\pm, p_3^\pm, \dots$$

**Proposition 3.1.** (*Proposition 1.2 in [79]*) *If the  $C(x) \geq c|x - \bar{x}|^2 \mathbf{1}$ , then the eigenvalues of  $H_s^+$  concentrate near the eigenvalues of the model operator  $K^+$ . That is, for any positive integer  $q$  there exists  $s_0 > 0$  and  $c_1 > 0$  such that for any  $s > s_0$*

**Theorem 3.2.** (1) *there are precisely  $p_j^+$  eigenvalues (multiplicities counted) of  $H_s^+$  in the interval*

$$(\mu_j^+ - c_1 s^{-1/5}, \mu_j^+ + c_1 s^{-1/5}), \quad j = 1, \dots, q;$$

(2) *there no eigenvalues of  $H_s^+$  in  $(-\infty, \mu_1^+ - c_1 s^{-1/5})$  and in the intervals*

$$(\mu_j^+ + c_1 s^{-1/5}, \mu_{j+1}^+ - c_1 s^{-1/5}), \quad j = 1, \dots, q;$$

(3) *similar results also hold for operators  $H_s^-$  and  $K^-$ .*

**Corollary 3.3.** *In the notation above,*

$$\text{ind}(D) = \dim \ker(K^+) - \dim \ker(K^-).$$

*Proof.* For each  $s > 0$ , operators  $H_s^+ = s^{-1} D_s^- D_s^+$  and  $H_s^- = s^{-1} D_s^+ D_s^-$  are positive elliptic self-adjoint operators acting on sections of vector bundles over a compact smooth manifold  $M$ . Therefore the operators  $H_s^+$  and  $H_s^-$  have discrete spectra  $\sigma(H_s^\pm) \subset [0, +\infty)$  with finite multiplicities. By Proposition 3.1, the spectra of  $K^+$  and  $K^-$  are also nonnegative (and of course discrete).

Choose any real number  $r > 0$ , so that  $r$  is strictly less than the least positive number in the union of the spectra of  $K^+$  and  $K^-$ . Then for any  $s > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(D) &= \dim \ker \left( s^{-1} (D_s)^2|_{\Gamma(M, E^+)} \right) - \dim \ker \left( s^{-1} (D_s)^2|_{\Gamma(M, E^-)} \right), \\ &= \dim \ker H_s^+ - \dim \ker H_s^- \\ &= \# \{ \sigma(H_s^+) \cap [0, r) \} - \# \{ \sigma(H_s^-) \cap [0, r) \}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $D_s^+$  is an isomorphism between the eigenspaces of  $H_s^+$  and of  $H_s^-$  corresponding to nonzero eigenvalues. By choosing  $s$  sufficiently large in the formula above and applying Proposition 3.1, we obtain

$$\text{ind}(D) = \dim \ker(K^+) - \dim \ker(K^-).$$

□

**Remark 3.4.** *With the notation of Section 2.1, if  $Z := (Z^+, (Z^+)^*) \in \Gamma(M, \text{End}(E^+ \oplus E^-))$  is everywhere nonsingular and anticommutes with Clifford multiplication by vectors, then the corollary implies that the index of the Dirac operator  $D$  must be zero. Proposition 2.20 then yields a new proof that the index of a Dirac operator (and thus any elliptic differential operator) on an odd-dimensional manifold is zero.*

By applying Corollary 3.3, Proposition 2.7, and the above remark to even dimensional manifolds, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be even dimensional and  $\text{spin}^c$ . Let  $E^\pm \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes W)^\pm = (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^\pm) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes W^\mp)$  be any graded, self-adjoint Clifford module over  $M$ . Then the index of the Dirac operator corresponding to this Clifford module is zero if the bundles  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* If there exists a bundle isomorphism  $\phi^+ : W^+ \rightarrow W^-$ , then  $Z^\pm = \gamma \otimes (\phi^+, (\phi^+)^*) : E^\pm \rightarrow E^\mp$  is a bundle isomorphism that anticommutes with Clifford multiplication. Therefore, the index is zero. □

#### 4. LOCAL INDEX THEORY ON $\mathbb{R}^n$

In this section, if  $P$  is a linear operator defined on a dense domain in a Hilbert space, then  $P^*$  denotes the formal adjoint of  $P$ .

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$  be  $m \times m$  matrices, and let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \partial_j, \quad B(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j B_j, \text{ and} \\ Q &= A + B(x). \end{aligned}$$

We take the domain of  $Q$  to be the space of compactly supported, smooth  $\mathbb{C}^m$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We assume that

- (1)  $A$  is an elliptic operator.
- (2) There is a positive constant  $K$  such that  $(B(x))^* B(x) \geq K|x|^2$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (3) For each  $j$  and  $k$ ,  $A_j^* B_k - B_k^* A_j = 0$ .

**Remark 4.1.** *Note that the second condition above is equivalent to the fact that the smallest eigenvalue of  $(B(x))^* B(x)$  is at least  $K|x|^2$ . Thus, the same inequality holds for  $B(x)(B(x))^*$ .*

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q^*Q &= A^*A - \left( \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^* B_j \right) + (B(x))^* B(x), \text{ and} \\ QQ^* &= AA^* + \left( \sum_{j=1}^n A_j B_j^* \right) + B(x) (B(x))^* \end{aligned}$$

By [34, Theorem 2.2], the operator  $\mathbf{Q}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$  valued-functions defined by

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q^* \\ Q & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A_j^* \\ A_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_j + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (B(x))^* \\ B(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is essentially self-adjoint. Its unique self-adjoint extension is defined as the closure of  $Q$  with respect to the inner product norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Q}}$  defined by  $\|u\|_{\mathbf{Q}}^2 = \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{Q}u\|_{L^2}^2$ .

Observe that

$$\mathbf{Q}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} Q^*Q & 0 \\ 0 & QQ^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each of the operators  $Q^*Q$  and  $QQ^*$  are bounded from below by

$$P = c \sum_{j=1}^n (-\partial_j^2 + x_j^2) \mathbf{1} - \lambda \mathbf{1}$$

and above by

$$P' = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{j=1}^n (-\partial_j^2 + x_j^2) \mathbf{1} + \lambda \mathbf{1}$$

for some constant  $c > 0$ , and where  $\lambda$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $\left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j B_j^* \right|$ . Therefore, the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{Q}}$  defined above is equivalent to the harmonic oscillator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 &= \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \langle (-\partial_j^2 + x_j^2) u(x), u(x) \rangle_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the closure of the space of compactly supported, smooth  $\mathbb{C}^m$ -valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the norm  $\|u\|$ .

It is well known that  $P + \tau \mathbf{1}$  has a compact inverse for some constant  $\tau$ , so  $Q^2 + \tau \mathbf{1}$  must also have a compact inverse. It follows that  $Q^2$  has a finite dimensional kernel. We conclude that both operators  $Q$  and  $Q$  are Fredholm.

We summarize the arguments of this section in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose that  $Q = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \partial_j + B(x)$  satisfies conditions (1) through (3) at the beginning of this section. Then the closure of the elliptic operator  $Q$  is Fredholm on its domain  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $\{Q_t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  be a family of operators of the form*

$$Q_t = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j(t) \partial_j + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j B_j(t),$$

*where  $A_j(t)$  and  $B_j(t)$  are continuous families of matrices such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_j$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j B_j$  are invertible for any nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , satisfying*

$$A_j^* B_k - B_k^* A_j = 0$$

*for each  $j$  and  $k$ . Then the index of  $Q_t$  is defined and is independent of  $t$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the family  $\{Q_t : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2 \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  is a continuous family in the norm topology. If  $\max_j |A_j(t_1) - A_j(t_2)|$  and  $\max_j |B_j(t_1) - B_j(t_2)|$  are both less than  $\delta > 0$  (with respect to a fixed matrix norm), then for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (Q_{t_1} - Q_{t_2}) u \|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (A_j(t_1) - A_j(t_2)) \partial_j + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j (B_j(t_1) - B_j(t_2)) \right) u \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \delta^2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \leq \delta^2 \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5. LOCAL CALCULATIONS

We now proceed with a calculation near the singular sets that evaluates the index of the Dirac operator  $D$ . Suppose that  $Z$  is a proper perturbation of  $D$  on  $\Gamma(M, E)$  with a nonempty set of singular points. This implies that there are restrictions on the rank of  $E$  on the associated grading and on the graded bundle map  $Z$ ; see Section 8.8 and Section 2.4. Let  $U$  be the neighborhood of a singular point  $\bar{x}$  as in Definition 2.14. We consider the operator  $D_s = D + sZ$  on sections of  $E$ , which has the local form

$$D_s = D + s \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j Z_j,$$

where each  $Z_j^+ : E^+ \rightarrow E^-$  is a locally defined, self-adjoint bundle isomorphism with  $Z_j = (Z_j^+, (Z_j^+)^*)$ .

We choose geodesic normal coordinates  $x$  around  $\bar{x}$  such that the metric is the identity matrix at  $\bar{x}$  and such that  $\partial_j$  commutes with  $c(\partial_k)$  for all  $j$  and  $k$  at the point  $x = \bar{x}$ . We wish to calculate the dimensions of  $\ker(D_s^2|_{\Gamma(M, E^\pm)})$ . By Corollary 3.3, it suffices to calculate the dimensions of the kernels of the model operators  $K^\pm(\bar{x})$  corresponding to each singular point  $\bar{x}$ . In the notation of the Section 3, the model operator is  $K(\bar{x}) = -A^{(2)} + \bar{B} + C^{(2)}$ ,

with

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(2)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1} \partial_j^2, \\ \overline{B} &= Z \circ D + D \circ Z|_{\overline{x}} = \sum_{j=1}^n c(\partial_j) Z_j(\overline{x}), \text{ and} \\ C^{(2)} &= \text{quadratic part of } Z^2 = \left( \sum_j (x - \overline{x})_j Z_j(\overline{x}) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$K(\overline{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} K^+(\overline{x}) & 0 \\ 0 & K^-(\overline{x}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D^-(\overline{x}) D^+(\overline{x}) & 0 \\ 0 & D^+(\overline{x}) D^-(\overline{x}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the operator  $D(\overline{x})$  is defined by

$$D(\overline{x}) = \sum_j c(\partial_j) \partial_j + \sum_j (x - \overline{x})_j Z_j(\overline{x}),$$

which satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 4.2. Thus,  $D(\overline{x})$  is a Fredholm operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$\dim \ker(K^+(\overline{x})) - \dim \ker(K^-(\overline{x})) = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\overline{x})).$$

Corollary 3.3 implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $D : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  be the Dirac operator corresponding to a graded, self-adjoint, Hermitian Clifford module over a closed manifold. Suppose that there exists a proper perturbation (Definition 2.14)  $Z$  of  $D$ . Then near each singularity  $\overline{x}$  of  $Z$ , we write  $Z(x) = \sum_j (x - \overline{x})_j Z_j$ , where each  $Z_j^+ : E^+ \rightarrow E^-$  is a locally defined bundle isomorphism with  $Z_j = (Z_j^+, (Z_j^+)^*)$ . Then the index of  $D$  satisfies*

$$\text{ind}(D) = \sum_{\overline{x} \text{ singular}} \text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\overline{x})),$$

where  $D(\overline{x}) = \sum_j c(\partial_j) \partial_j + \sum_j x_j Z_j(\overline{x})$  is the operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that maps  $E_{\overline{x}}^+$ -valued functions to  $E_{\overline{x}}^-$ -valued functions.

Let us now specialize to a case where we compute the local indices  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\overline{x}))$  in terms of the matrices  $c(\partial_1), \dots, c(\partial_n), Z_1(\overline{x}), \dots, Z_n(\overline{x})$  directly. We will need to assume that  $Z(x)^2 = q(x - \overline{x}) \mathbf{1}$ , where  $q$  is a positive definite quadratic form and  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity map. The following propositions show that there always exist local bundle maps with this property. Furthermore, the coordinates may be chosen so that the  $Z_j(\overline{x})$  anticommute.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose the operator  $Z(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x - \overline{x})_j Z_j$  satisfies  $Z(x)^2 = q(x - \overline{x}) \mathbf{1}$ , where  $q$  is a positive definite quadratic form and  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity map. Then there exist local coordinates  $y$  and Hermitian linear transformations  $\tilde{Z}_2, \dots, \tilde{Z}_n$  such that*

$$Z(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \tilde{Z}_j$$



and  $\tilde{Z}_j \tilde{Z}_k + \tilde{Z}_k \tilde{Z}_j = 2\delta_{jk} \mathbf{1}$ . Furthermore, we have that  $E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes W$ , where the rank of  $W$  is a multiple of  $2^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil}$ .

*Proof.* If  $Z(x)^2 = q(x - \bar{x}) \mathbf{1}$ , then there is a symmetric matrix  $Q$ , an orthogonal matrix  $U$ , and a positive diagonal matrix  $D$  such that

$$q(x - \bar{x}) = Q(x - \bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})$$

and such that  $D = UQU^T$  is the identity. Let  $y = \sqrt{D}U(x - \bar{x})$ . In the new coordinates we have

$$Z(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \tilde{Z}_j$$

for the hermitian linear transformations  $\tilde{Z}_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sqrt{D}^{-1} U \right)_{jk} Z_k$ . Then  $(Z^2)(y) = (\|y\|^2) I$  implies the following relations:

$$\tilde{Z}_j \tilde{Z}_k + \tilde{Z}_k \tilde{Z}_j = 2\delta_{jk}.$$

Note then that  $\{i\tilde{Z}_j\}$  becomes a set of Clifford matrices, all of which commute with the given Clifford action.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Suppose that  $r = \text{rank}(E^+)$  is a multiple of  $2^{n-1}$ . Then there exists a set of Hermitian, invertible linear transformations*

$$\left\{ Z_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Z_j^- \\ Z_j^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (Z_j^+)^* \\ Z_j^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \quad \text{on the graded } \mathbb{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ module } E^+ \oplus E^- \cong \mathbb{C}^r \oplus \mathbb{C}^r \text{ such that}$$

- (1) *each  $Z_j$  anticommutes with each  $c(\partial_k)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and*
- (2) *The operator  $Z(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x - \bar{x})_j Z_j$  satisfies  $Z(x)^2 = q(x - \bar{x}) \mathbf{1}$ , where  $q$  is a positive definite quadratic form and  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity map.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the rank of  $E^+$  is a multiple of  $2^{n-1}$ , and  $E$  is endowed with a graded  $\mathbb{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  action. Then there exists a graded  $\mathbb{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  action extending the original action on  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ . If  $\{\beta_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  is a set of generators corresponding to Clifford multiplication on  $E$  by the additional vectors, then  $\{Z_j = i\beta_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  is a set of transformations that satisfy the conditions of the proposition.  $\square$

If the  $\{Z_j(\bar{x})\}$  anticommute (changing coordinates if necessary), then

$$\begin{aligned} K(\bar{x}) &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n c(\partial_j) Z_j + \left( \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j Z_j \right)^2 \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n c(\partial_j) Z_j + \sum_{j=1}^n (x - \bar{x})_j^2 Z_j^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( -\partial_j^2 + c(\partial_j) Z_j + (x - \bar{x})_j^2 (c(\partial_j) Z_j)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where each  $Z_j$  is evaluated at  $x = \bar{x}$ .

Observe that the operators  $L_j = c(\partial_j) Z_j$  are Hermitian and commute with each other and thus can be diagonalized simultaneously. Let  $v$  be a common eigenvector of each operator  $L_j$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Letting  $f$  be a function of  $x$ , we have

$$K(\bar{x})(fv) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \left( -\partial_j^2 + \lambda_j + \lambda_j^2 (x - \bar{x})_j^2 \right) f \right) v.$$

The section  $fv$  is in the kernel of  $K(\bar{x})$  if and only if each  $\lambda_j$  is negative, and, up to a constant,  $fv = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \lambda_j (x - \bar{x})_j^2\right) v$ . Thus the dimension of the kernel of  $K(\bar{x})$  is the dimension of the intersection of the direct sum of eigenspaces  $E_\lambda(L_j)$  of  $L_j = c(\partial_j) Z_j$  corresponding to negative eigenvalues. Note that  $L_j$  maps  $E^+$  to itself (call the restriction  $L_j^+$ ), so that the dimension of the kernel of  $D_s^+$  restricted to this neighborhood is simply the dimension of  $\bigcap_j \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda < 0} E_\lambda(L_j^+) \right)$ .

The calculation above and Corollary 3.3 imply the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $D : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  be the Dirac operator corresponding to a graded, self-adjoint, Hermitian Clifford module over a closed manifold. Suppose that there exists a proper perturbation (Definition 2.14)  $Z$  of  $D$ . Then near each singularity  $\bar{x}$  of  $Z$ , we write  $Z(x) = \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j Z_j$ , where each  $Z_j^+ : E^+ \rightarrow E^-$  is a locally defined bundle isomorphism with  $Z_j = (Z_j^+, (Z_j^+)^*)$ . We assume that we may choose  $Z$  such that  $Z_j(\bar{x}) Z_k(\bar{x}) = -Z_k(\bar{x}) Z_j(\bar{x})$  for all  $j \neq k$ . Define the Hermitian linear transformations*

$$L_j^\pm(\bar{x}) = c(\partial_j) Z_j|_{E_\pm^\pm}.$$

Then

$$\text{ind}(D) = \sum_{\bar{x}} \left( \dim \left[ \bigcap_j \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda < 0} E_\lambda(L_j^+(\bar{x})) \right) \right] - \dim \left[ \bigcap_j \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda < 0} E_\lambda(L_j^-(\bar{x})) \right) \right] \right),$$

where the sum is taken over all the singular points  $\bar{x}$  of  $Z$ .

**Remark 5.5.** *The assumption that  $Z_j(\bar{x}) Z_k(\bar{x}) = -Z_k(\bar{x}) Z_j(\bar{x})$  for all  $j \neq k$  is natural, since it appears in all local calculations where researchers have used Witten deformation (see papers mentioned in the introduction). In fact, a stronger condition, that  $Z_j^2$  is a scalar multiplication by a function and that the anticommutivity holds for all  $x$  near  $\bar{x}$ , appears in all previous work.*

We now apply Theorem 5.4 when  $n = \dim M$  is even. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.17 hold, and suppose that  $Z$  has a nonempty set of singular points. Let  $U$  be the neighborhood of a singular point  $\bar{x}$  as in Definition 2.14. Then the operator  $D_s = D + sZ$  over  $E|_U \cong \mathbb{S}|_U \otimes W$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_s &= D + s\gamma \otimes \phi \\ &= D + s\gamma \otimes \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j \phi_j, \end{aligned}$$

with notation as in Definition 2.14 and Proposition 2.7, where each  $\phi_j^+ : W^+ \rightarrow W^-$  is a locally defined self-adjoint bundle isomorphism with  $\phi_j = (\phi_j^+, (\phi_j^+)^*)$ . The theorem becomes:

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $D : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  be the Dirac operator corresponding to a graded, self-adjoint, Hermitian Clifford module over an even-dimensional manifold. Let  $Z$  be a proper perturbation of  $D$ . Then near each singularity  $\bar{x}$  of  $Z$ , we write  $E^\pm \cong (S^\pm \otimes W^\pm) \oplus (S^\pm \otimes W^\pm)$  and  $Z(x) = \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j \gamma \otimes \phi_j$ , where each  $\phi_j^+ : W^+ \rightarrow W^-$  is a locally defined bundle isomorphism with  $\phi_j = (\phi_j^+, (\phi_j^+)^*)$ . Assume that  $\phi_j \phi_k = -\phi_k \phi_j$  for all  $j \neq k$ . Define the Hermitian linear transformations*

$$L_j^\pm(\bar{x}) = ((c(\partial_j)\gamma) \otimes \phi_j)|_{E_{\bar{x}}^\pm}.$$

Then

$$\text{ind}(D) = \sum_{\bar{x}} \left( \dim \left[ \bigcap_j \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda < 0} E_\lambda(L_j^+(\bar{x})) \right) \right] - \dim \left[ \bigcap_j \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda < 0} E_\lambda(L_j^-(\bar{x})) \right) \right] \right), \quad (5.1)$$

where the sum is taken over all the singular points  $\bar{x}$  of  $Z$ .

**Remark 5.7.** *The proof is easily modified for the odd-dimensional case. Locally we write  $E|_U \cong S \otimes (W' \oplus W')|_U$  as in Proposition 2.10. Then  $Z = \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j \mathbf{1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi_j \\ -\phi_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where each  $\phi_j : W' \rightarrow W'$  is a locally defined, skew-adjoint bundle isomorphism. Formula (5.1) is valid with the new  $L_j^\pm(\bar{x})$  given by*

$$L_j^\pm(\bar{x}) = c^+(\partial_j) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi_j \\ \phi_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{E_{\bar{x}}^\pm}.$$

In this case, the formula shows that the sum of the local indices is always zero, since  $\text{ind}(D) = 0$  in odd dimensions.

## 6. EXAMPLES

**6.1. The de Rham operator.** The bundle  $E = \Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}$  of complex-valued forms is a left Clifford module with the canonical Clifford action defined by

$$l(v)\omega = v^\flat \wedge \omega - v \lrcorner \omega, \quad v \in T_x M, \quad \omega \in \Lambda^\bullet T_x^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Here  $v^\flat$  denotes the covector dual to  $v$  and  $v \lrcorner$  is the contraction with vector  $v$ . Similarly,  $E$  is also a right Clifford module with the canonical right Clifford action on  $\Lambda^p T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$r(v)\omega = (-1)^p (v^\flat \wedge \omega + v \lrcorner \omega).$$

The corresponding Dirac operator is the *de Rham operator*

$$D = \sum l(e_j) \nabla_{e_j} = d + d^*,$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a local orthonormal basis of  $TM$ .

Let the dimension of  $M$  be even. Let  $\mathbb{S}$  denote a  $\text{spin}^c$  bundle, which always exists locally but may not exist globally if  $M$  is not a  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold. Let  $\mathbb{S}^*$  denote the dual bundle to  $\mathbb{S}$ . Using a bundle metric on  $\mathbb{S}$ , identify  $\mathbb{S}^*$  and  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$ . The result below follows from the representation theory of Clifford algebras.

**Lemma 6.1.** (follows from Ch. IV in [61]) Through the isomorphism of Clifford modules  $\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^* \cong \Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , the corresponding Clifford actions by vectors are intertwined in the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow c(v) \otimes 1 & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow l(v) \\ \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes c(-v) & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow r(v) \\ \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^* & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

The grading of  $E$  into even and odd forms  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ , where  $E^+ = \Lambda^{\text{even}} T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and  $E^- = \Lambda^{\text{odd}} T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , is not natural; it does not come from the action of the chirality operator  $\gamma$  on  $E$ . Under the isomorphism  $E = \Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^*$  we have  $E^+ = (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes (\mathbb{S}^+)^*) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes (\mathbb{S}^-)^*)$  and  $E^- = (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes (\mathbb{S}^-)^*) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes (\mathbb{S}^+)^*)$ . Since the Dirac operator only acts on the first component of  $\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^*$ , we can deform  $D$  by any linear operator  $Z = \gamma \otimes \phi$ , where  $\gamma$  is the chirality operator and  $\phi : \mathbb{S}^\pm \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^\mp$  is a bundle map as in Proposition 2.7.

The following example of such a deformation is useful in the proof of the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem (1.1). Let  $V$  be a smooth vector field on  $M$ , then for each  $p$ -form  $\omega$  define

$$Z_V \omega = (V^\flat \wedge + V \lrcorner) \omega = (-1)^p r(V) \omega : E^\pm \rightarrow E^\mp.$$

Recall that a point  $\bar{x} \in M$  is called *singular (or critical)* point of  $V(x) = \sum_k V_k(x) \partial_k$  if for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $V_k(\bar{x}) = 0$ . A singular point of  $V$  is called *non-degenerate* if  $\det(\partial V_k / \partial x_i)(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ . The index of a singular point  $\bar{x}$  is defined as follows

$$\text{ind}_V(\bar{x}) = \text{sign } \det(\partial V_k / \partial x_i)(\bar{x}).$$

**Remark 6.2.** Note that  $\bar{x} \in M$  is a nondegenerate singular point of  $V$  if and only if  $\bar{x}$  is a proper singular point of the endomorphism  $Z_V$  on forms.

Observe that the map  $\omega \mapsto (-1)^p \omega$  on  $p$ -forms  $\omega$  is given by the map  $\gamma \otimes \gamma$  on  $\mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^* = \mathbb{S} \otimes \bar{\mathbb{S}}$ , since  $\gamma$  is the identity (respectively, minus the identity) on even (respectively, odd) spinors. Thus,

$$Z_V = (-1)^p r(V) = (\mathbf{1} \otimes c(V)) \circ (\gamma \otimes \gamma) = (\gamma \otimes c(V) \gamma).$$

so that  $Z_V = \gamma \otimes \phi$  with  $\phi = c(V) \gamma$ .

Near each nondegenerate singular point  $\bar{x}$  of the vector field  $V$ , we choose local coordinates centered at  $\bar{x}$  so that  $V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \sum_{k=1}^n V_{jk} \partial_k + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$ , where  $(V_{jk})$  is an invertible matrix. The model operator  $K(\bar{x})$  from Section 5 is

$$K(\bar{x}) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1} \partial_j^2 + \sum_{j,k=1}^n V_{jk} (c(\partial_j) \gamma \otimes c(\partial_k) \gamma) + \sum_{j,k,m=1}^n x_j x_k (V V^T)_{jk}.$$

We observe that  $K(\bar{x}) = D(\bar{x})^2$  on even forms, where

$$D(\bar{x}) : \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{\text{even/odd}} T^* \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \Lambda^{\text{odd/even}} T^* \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

is defined by

$$D(\bar{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (c(\partial_j) \otimes \mathbf{1}) \partial_j + \sum_{j,k=1}^n x_j V_{jk} (\gamma \otimes c(\partial_k) \gamma).$$

By Theorem 5.1,

$$\chi(M) = \text{ind}(d + d^*) = \sum_{V(\bar{x})=0} \text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\bar{x})). \quad (6.1)$$

By Corollary 4.3, each of the integers  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\bar{x}))$  does not change if the vector field  $V$  is deformed continuously while remaining nondegenerate and without introducing additional zeros. Every vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a single, nondegenerate zero at the origin may be continuously deformed in this way to  $V(x) = \pm x_1 \partial_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j \partial_j$ , depending only on the index  $\pm 1$ . Thus, to evaluate the right hand side of Equation (6.1), it suffices to calculate the index of the corresponding operator

$$D(\bar{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (c(\partial_j) \otimes \mathbf{1}) \partial_j \pm x_1 (\gamma \otimes c(\partial_1) \gamma) + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j (\gamma \otimes c(\partial_j) \gamma).$$

The operator above satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.4. Note that the local zeroth order operator  $Z$  corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} Z_V &= \gamma \otimes \phi = \gamma \otimes \left[ \pm x_1 c(\partial_1) \gamma + \sum_{j=2}^n x_j (c(\partial_j) \gamma) \right] \\ &= \gamma \otimes \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \phi_j \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In the notation of Section 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &: = \pm (c(\partial_1) \gamma) \otimes (c(\partial_1) \gamma) \\ L_j &: = (c(\partial_j) \gamma) \otimes (c(\partial_j) \gamma) \text{ for } j \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\omega$  is a  $p$ -form, then for instance

$$\begin{aligned} L_j \omega &= (-1)^{pl} (\partial_j) r(\partial_j) \omega \\ &= (dx_j \wedge -dx_j \lrcorner) (dx_j \wedge +dx_j \lrcorner) \omega \text{ for } j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

If, in addition,  $\omega = dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$ , then

$$L_j \omega = \begin{cases} \omega, & \text{if } j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \text{ and } j \geq 2 \\ -\omega, & \text{if } j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \text{ and } j \geq 2 \\ \pm \omega, & \text{if } j = 1 \in \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \\ \mp \omega, & \text{if } j = 1 \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \end{cases}$$

The proposition below follows.

**Proposition 6.3.** *In a neighborhood of a zero of index  $\pm 1$ , then the form  $\omega$  belongs to the negative eigenspace of  $L_j$  for each  $j$  if and only if*

$$\omega = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } \text{ind}_V(\bar{x}) = 1 \\ c dx_1 & \text{if } \text{ind}_V(\bar{x}) = -1 \end{cases}.$$

for some constant  $c$ .

Since  $(\Lambda^\bullet T^* \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{C})^+ = (\Lambda^{\text{even}} T^* \mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{C})$ , the local indices of  $D^\pm(\bar{x})$  — and thus the index  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\bar{x}))$  — are now clearly determined.

**Corollary 6.4.** *We have  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\bar{x})) = \text{ind}_V(\bar{x})$ .*

The Poincaré-Hopf Theorem now follows from Equation (6.1).

**Theorem 6.5.** (*Poincaré-Hopf Theorem*) *Let  $V$  be a smooth vector field with non-degenerate singular points on an even-dimensional, smooth manifold  $M$ . Let  $n^\pm$  denote the number of singular points of  $V$  with the index  $\pm 1$ . Then*

$$\chi(M) = n^+ - n^-,$$

where  $\chi(M)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $M$ .

**Remark 6.6.** *The Poincaré-Hopf Theorem on odd-dimensional manifolds can be proved in a similar way.*

**Corollary 6.7.** *The Euler characteristic of a closed, even-dimensional  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold is zero if and only if the  $\text{spin}^c$  bundles  $\mathbb{S}^+$  and  $\mathbb{S}^-$  are isomorphic. The statement is true for any choice of  $\text{spin}^c$  bundle  $\mathbb{S}$ .*

*Proof.* If the Euler characteristic of the manifold is zero, then there exists a nonzero vector field. Clifford multiplication by this vector field provides the needed isomorphism. On the other hand, if a bundle isomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{S}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^-$  does exist, it induces a map  $\phi : \mathbb{S}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^*$  defined using  $\phi^+ = \psi^* : (\mathbb{S}^+)^* \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^-)^*$  and  $\phi^- = (\phi^+)^*$  and thus a proper perturbation  $Z = \gamma \otimes \phi$  of the de Rham operator with no singular points.  $\square$

**6.2. The Euler characteristic and sections of the conformal Pin bundle.** In this section, we again assume that the dimension  $n$  of  $M$  is even. We will show that the Euler characteristic is the sum of indices of a nondegenerate section of the conformal Pin bundle.

Consider the subset  $P_x M$  of all elements of the  $\text{Cl}(T_x M)$  of the form

$$v_1 v_2 \dots v_r$$

where  $v_j \in T_x M$  for each  $j$ . This set forms a monoid, and it is the same as

$$P_x M = \{ \lambda \alpha \mid \alpha \in \text{Pin}(T_x M), \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \} \subset \text{Cl}(T_x M)$$

(see [61, p. 12ff]). Note that  $P_x M - \{0\}$  is the conformal Pin group of  $T_x M$ . Let  $PM$  denote the corresponding bundle of monoids over  $M$ . Let  $P^+ M$  and  $P^- M$  be defined by

$$P^\pm M = PM \cap \text{Cl}^\pm(T_x M).$$

We say that  $\bar{x} \in M$  is a *nondegenerate zero* of  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^- M)$  if on a sufficiently small neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$  we have

- (1)  $\beta|_{\bar{x}} = 0$ , and
- (2) in local coordinates  $x$  on  $U$ , there exist invertible  $\beta_j \in \Gamma(P^- M|_U)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n = \dim M$  over  $U$  such that  $\beta = \sum_j (x - \bar{x})_j \beta_j$  on  $U$ , and  $\beta$  is invertible on  $U \setminus \{\bar{x}\}$ .

If  $\bar{x} \in M$  is a nondegenerate zero of  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^- M)$ , then on some neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$ ,

$$\beta|_U = W_1 W_2 \dots W_r|_U$$

for some vector fields  $W_1, \dots, W_r$ . Since  $\beta$  is nondegenerate, only one of the vector fields (say  $W_j$ ) is zero at  $\bar{x}$ , and  $\bar{x}$  is a nondegenerate zero of  $W_j$ .

**Lemma 6.8.** *For any two vector fields  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that  $B_2$  is nonzero,*

$$B_2 B_1 = \widetilde{B_1} B_2,$$

where  $\widetilde{B}_1$  is the vector field defined by

$$\widetilde{B}_1 = B_1^\parallel - B_1^\perp,$$

where  $B_1^\parallel$  and  $B_1^\perp$  are the components of  $B_1$  in directions parallel to and perpendicular to  $B_2$ . If  $B_1$  has a nondegenerate zero at a point where  $B_2$  is nonzero, the index of  $\widetilde{B}_1$  at the point is the opposite of the index of  $B_1$  at the point.

*Proof.* The equation follows from the construction of  $\widetilde{B}_1$ . The vector field  $-\widetilde{B}_1$  is the reflection of  $B_1$  in the plane perpendicular to  $B_2$ . Since the dimension is even, the index of  $\widetilde{B}_1$  is the opposite of the index of  $B_1$ .  $\square$

Lemma 6.8 implies that  $\bar{x} \in M$  is a nondegenerate zero of  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^-M)$  if and only if in local coordinates  $x$  on a sufficiently small neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$ , there exists a locally defined vector field  $V_1$  with an isolated nondegenerate zero at  $\bar{x}$  and a collection of nonzero vector fields  $V_2, \dots, V_r$  such that

$$\beta|_U = V_1 V_2 \dots V_r|_U.$$

**Definition 6.9.** Given a section  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^-M)$  with a nondegenerate zero at  $\bar{x} \in M$ , we define the index  $\text{ind}_\beta(\bar{x})$  of  $\beta$  at  $\bar{x}$  to be the index  $\text{ind}_{V_1}(\bar{x})$  of any vector field  $V_1$  at  $\bar{x}$  such that in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$ ,

$$\beta|_U = V_1 V_2 \dots V_r|_U,$$

where  $V_1$  has an isolated nondegenerate zero at  $\bar{x}$  and the vector fields  $V_2, \dots, V_r$  are nonzero at  $\bar{x}$ .

**Lemma 6.10.** Given a section  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^-M)$  with a nondegenerate zero at  $\bar{x} \in M$ , the index of  $\beta$  at  $\bar{x}$  is well-defined.

*Proof.* Suppose that we are given two different local expressions for  $\beta$  on a sufficiently small neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{x}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \beta|_U &= V_1 V_2 \dots V_r|_U \\ &= W_1 W_2 \dots W_{r'}|_U, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_1$  and  $W_1$  have isolated nondegenerate zeros at  $\bar{x} = 0$  and the vector fields  $V_2, \dots, V_r, W_2, \dots, W_{r'}$  are nonzero at 0. Without loss of generality, we replace  $V_1$  and  $W_1$  with their linear parts

$$V_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j V_{1j}, W_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j W_{1j},$$

and we replace  $V_2, \dots, V_r, W_2, \dots, W_{r'}$  with their values at 0. Thus, the equation for  $\beta$  above implies that

$$V_{1j} V_2 \dots V_r = W_{1j} W_2 \dots W_{r'}.$$

We multiply on the right by the inverse of  $V_2 \dots V_r$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_{1j} &= W_{1j} \left[ \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{\|V_r\|^2 \dots \|V_2\|^2} W_2 \dots W_{r'} V_r \dots V_2 \right] \\ &= W_{1j} T, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T$  is defined to be the element  $T \in P_{\bar{x}}^+ M$  shown in the square brackets above. We note that

$$T = \frac{-1}{\|W_{1j}\|^2} W_{1j} V_{1j} \text{ for each } j, \quad (6.2)$$

so that it is an element of  $P_{\bar{x}}^+ M$  of degree at most two. Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  of  $T_{\bar{x}} M$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \frac{W_{11}}{\|W_{11}\|}, \\ V_{11} &= c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 \end{aligned}$$

with  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . From Equation (6.2) with  $j = 1$ , it is easily seen that

$$T = t_1 + t_2 f_1 f_2$$

for some constants  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Suppose that for some  $j > 1$ ,  $W_{1j}$  is not contained in the space spanned by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , so that

$$W_{1j} = k_1 f_1 + k_2 f_2 + q,$$

where  $q$  is a nonzero vector orthogonal to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_{1j} &= W_{1j} T \\ &= t_1 W_{1j} + t_2 W_{1j} f_1 f_2 \\ &= (t_1 W_{1j} - t_2 k_1 f_2 + t_2 k_2 f_1) + t_2 q f_1 f_2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a vector if and only if  $t_2 = 0$ . Thus, if for some  $j > 1$  there is a vector  $W_{1j}$  orthogonal to the space spanned by  $V_{11}$  and  $W_{11}$ , then  $V_{1k} = t W_{1k}$  for some nonzero real number  $t$ , for all  $k$ . Since the dimension  $n$  is even, this implies that the vector fields  $V_1$  and  $W_1$  have the same index at the origin.

If on the other hand,  $W_{1j}$  is contained in the span of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  for each  $j$ , then the dimension  $n$  is 2, and the map

$$W_{1j} \longmapsto W_{1j} (t_1 + t_2 f_1 f_2),$$

which transforms each  $W_{1j}$  to  $V_{1j}$ , induces an orientation-preserving linear transformation, whose matrix in the basis  $\{f_1, f_2\}$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & -t_2 \\ t_2 & t_1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus, in this case, the indices of the vector fields  $V_1$  and  $W_1$  are the same as well.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.11.** *For any section  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^- M)$  with nondegenerate zeros, the Euler characteristic satisfies*

$$\chi(M) = \sum_{\bar{x}} \text{ind}_{\beta}(\bar{x})$$

where the sum is taken over all the zeros  $\bar{x}$  of  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* Consider the proper perturbation  $Z$  of the de Rham operator

$$D = d + d^* : \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{\text{even}} T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{\text{odd}} T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

defined by

$$Z_{\beta} = \gamma \otimes c(\beta)^* \gamma : \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^*,$$



where we have used the isomorphism  $\Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^*$ . In a neighborhood  $U$  of a particular zero  $\bar{x}$ , we write

$$\beta|_U = V_1 V_2 \dots V_r|_U,$$

where  $V_1$  has an isolated nondegenerate zero at  $\bar{x}$  and the vector fields  $V_2, \dots, V_r$  are nonzero at  $\bar{x}$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\beta &= r(\beta)(-1)^p \\ &= (V_1^\flat \wedge + i(V_1)) (V_2^\flat \wedge + i(V_2)) \dots (V_r^\flat \wedge + i(V_r)) \end{aligned}$$

on  $p$ -forms (see Section 6.1). We now use Theorem 5.1 to calculate the index of  $D$ , which is the Euler characteristic. Without changing the local index  $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(D(\bar{x}))$ , we will deform it in a particularly simple way near  $\bar{x}$ . We locally deform the vector fields  $V_2, \dots, V_r$  smoothly to  $\frac{V_2}{\|V_2\|}$  (while keeping  $\beta$  invertible on  $U \setminus \{\bar{x}\}$ ), and thus the product  $V_2 \dots V_r$  is deformed to  $(-1)^{r(r-1)/2}$ . Then  $\beta$  has been deformed to

$$\tilde{\beta}|_U = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} V_1|_U.$$

The perturbation proof of the Poincaré-Hopf theorem implies that the difference in dimensions of the kernels of the operators  $(D + sZ)^\pm|_U$  for large  $s$  is the index of the vector field  $\pm V_1$  at  $\bar{x}$ , which is the same as the index of the  $V_1$  at  $\bar{x}$ , which is by definition  $\text{ind}_\beta(\bar{x})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.12.** *The theorem above implies that the odd pinor bundle  $\text{Pin}^-(TM)$  has a section if and only if the Euler characteristic of  $M$  is zero.*

**Example 6.13.** *Consider the standard Dirac operator on the (trivial)  $\text{spin}^c$  bundle  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{C}^{2m}$  over an even-dimensional sphere  $S^{2m} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ . Consider the section  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^-M)$  defined by*

$$\beta = p(E_1) p(E_2) \dots p(E_{2m+1}),$$

where  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{2m+1}\}$  is the standard basis of vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ , and where  $p_x : T_x \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} \rightarrow T_x S^{2m}$  is the orthogonal projection. (Note that a similar bundle map may be constructed on any  $\text{spin}^c$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ .) Observe that  $\beta \in \Gamma(P^-M)$ , and its zeros are the points of intersection of the axes in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$  with  $S^{2m}$ . Note that these zeros are nondegenerate. To see this, renumber the axes so that the zero in question is the axis parallel to  $E_1$ . Near this zero, the vector fields  $p(E_2), \dots, p(E_{2m+1})$  are nonzero, and  $p(E_1) = \pm(\sin r) \partial_r$ , where  $r$  is the geodesic radial coordinate. Since  $p(E_1)$  is locally the gradient of  $\mp \cos(r)$ , which is a Morse function near  $r = 0$ ,  $p(E_1)$  has nondegenerate zeros. Also, note that the index of  $p(E_1)$  is 1, since it is either a source or sink. Thus  $\beta$  has nondegenerate zeros. We now compute the index of  $\beta$  at each zero. At one of the zeros of  $p(E_j)$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= p(E_1) p(E_2) \dots p(E_{2m+1}) \\ &= p(F_j) p(E_1) \dots p(E_{j-1}) p(E_{j+1}) \dots p(E_{2m+1}) \\ &= \pm p(F_j) p(\pm E_1) \dots p(E_{j-1}) p(E_{j+1}) \dots p(E_{2m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_j$  is a vector field with two nondegenerate zeros (at the zeros of  $E_j$ ) whose index is  $(-1)^{j-1}$  at each of those zeros. We have used Lemma 6.8. The form of  $\beta$  above implies that the index of  $\beta$  at each of the two zeros of  $p(E_j)$  is  $(-1)^{j-1}$ . This verifies Theorem 6.11,

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(S^{2m}) &= \sum_{\bar{x}} \text{ind}_{\beta}(\bar{x}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1} \left[ (-1)^{j-1} + (-1)^{j-1} \right] \\ &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

**6.3. The induced index on submanifolds.** Let  $F$  be a real, oriented vector bundle over  $M$  with odd rank. Let  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  be a graded, self-adjoint Clifford module over the total space of  $F$ . Identify the zero section of  $F$  with  $M$ ; the inclusion  $TM \subset TF$  then induces a graded  $\mathbb{Cl}(TM)$ -action on  $E$  over  $M$ .

**Proposition 6.14.** *The index of the Dirac operator associated to the  $\mathbb{Cl}(TM)$ -module  $E$  over  $M$  is zero.*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega$  be a nonzero vertical volume form that induces the orientation of  $F$ . Then  $Z = ic(\omega) : E^{\pm} \rightarrow E^{\mp}$  is a nonsingular, self-adjoint bundle map that anticommutes with Clifford multiplication by sections of  $TM$ . By Remark 3.4, the index of the corresponding Dirac operator is zero.  $\square$

Clearly, the same result would apply to an oriented submanifold of odd codimension in a manifold endowed with a given Clifford module; the vector bundle  $F$  is the normal bundle of the submanifold. It would also apply to a component of the boundary of a manifold with boundary.

**Remark 6.15.** *This resembles the cobordism invariance of the index of Dirac operators. See [70, Chapter XVII]. A perturbation proof of the standard cobordism invariance result is given by M. Braverman in [20] and [21]. In our case, the bundle map is an odd endomorphism, and we do not require that the manifold with boundary be compact.*

## 7. APPENDIX: EXAMPLE WHEN NO LOCALIZATION OCCURS

In this section, we give an example of a perturbation of the Dirac operator that yields first order terms and where no localization occurs. This motivates the requirement that  $ZD + DZ$  be a bundle map in Section 2.

Let  $D_s : \Gamma(S^1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S^1, \mathbb{C})$  be defined by

$$D_s f := i \frac{df}{d\theta} + s(\sin \theta) f.$$

Then  $D_s$  is a family of essentially self-adjoint differential operators. We solve the equation  $D_s f = \lambda f$  by separating variables. We conclude that the eigenvalues of  $D_s$  are  $\lambda_{\pm n} = \pm n$ , and the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions are

$$f_{\pm n}(\theta, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i(\mp n\theta - s \cos \theta)}.$$

Clearly, as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\pm n}$  are fixed, and the eigenfunctions do not localize in the usual sense since the magnitude of each eigenfunction stays constant:  $|f_n(\theta, s)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ .

In this case,  $D = i \frac{d}{d\theta}$ , and  $Z = \sin \theta$  can be thought of as Clifford action by the complex vector field  $-i \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ . The anticommutator of  $D$  and  $Z$  is

$$DZ + ZD = i \left( 2 \cos \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} - \sin \theta \right),$$

and the operator

$$D_s^2 = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + s \left( 2i \cos \theta \frac{d}{d\theta} - i \sin \theta \right) + s^2 \cos^2 \theta$$

contains a first order term, as expected.

## 8. APPENDIX: GRADED CLIFFORD BUNDLES AND DIRAC OPERATORS

We recall several well known facts about Clifford structures on manifolds of even and odd dimensions. The books [31], [61], and [76] are standard references.

**8.1. The Clifford bundle.** As before,  $(M, g)$  is an oriented Riemannian manifold of  $\dim M = n$ . For any  $x \in M$ , we denote by  $\text{Cl}(T_x M)$  the Clifford algebra of the tangent space  $T_x M$ . The spaces  $T_x M$  and  $T_x^* M$  are canonically isomorphic, using the chosen Riemannian metric.

The Clifford algebra  $\text{Cl}(T_x M)$  is the direct sum of even and odd components, denoted  $\text{Cl}^+(T_x M)$  and  $\text{Cl}^-(T_x M)$ .

The *Clifford bundle*  $\text{Cl}(TM)$  of  $M$  is the  $Z_2$ -graded bundle over  $M$  whose fiber at  $x \in M$  is  $\text{Cl}(T_x M)$  ([31], 3.30). We will denote the *complexified Clifford algebra*  $\text{Cl}(T_x M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  by  $\mathbb{C}\text{Cl}(T_x M)$  and the *complexified Clifford bundle*  $\text{Cl}(TM) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  by  $\mathbb{C}\text{Cl}(M)$ .

The Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^{TM}$  induced by the Riemannian metric  $g$  extends canonically to a connection on  $\mathbb{C}\text{Cl}(T_x M)$  compatible with the grading and the Clifford multiplication.

**8.2. Clifford modules.** A *graded self-adjoint Clifford module* ([31], 3.32) on a manifold  $M$  is a  $Z_2$ -graded complex vector bundle  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  together with a bundle endomorphism

$$c : TM \rightarrow \text{End}(E).$$

such that the following properties hold: for any  $x \in M$  and any vectors  $v, w \in T_x M$

- (i)  $c(v) : E_x^\pm \rightarrow E_x^\mp$  is a graded action;
- (ii)  $c(v)c(w) + c(w)c(v) = -2g_x(v, w)\mathbf{1}$ , where  $g_x$  is the metric on  $T_x M$ ;
- (iii) the bundle  $E$  is equipped with a Hermitian metric such that the subbundles  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  are orthogonal and the operator  $c(v)$  is skew-adjoint ;
- (iv)  $E$  is equipped with a grading-preserving Hermitian connection  $\nabla = \nabla^E$  satisfying

$$[\nabla_V^E, c(W)] = c(\nabla_V^{TM} W),$$

for arbitrary vector fields  $V$  and  $W$  on  $M$ . This connection is called a *Clifford connection* ([31], 3.39). Clifford connections always exist ([31], 3.41).

**8.3. Twisted Clifford modules.** Given a Clifford module  $E$  and a vector bundle  $F$  over  $M$ , we can construct the *twisted Clifford module*  $E \otimes F$  obtained from  $E$  by twisting with  $F$ . The Clifford action on  $E \otimes F$  is given by  $c(v) \otimes 1$ . Given a connection  $\nabla^F$  on  $F$  we can define the *product connection*  $\nabla^E \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^F$  on  $E \otimes F$ .

**8.4. The chirality operator and the induced grading on  $E$ .** Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_x M$ . We consider the element

$$\gamma = i^k c(e_1) \dots c(e_n) \in \text{End}(E_x),$$

where  $k = n/2$  if  $n$  is even and  $k = (n+1)/2$  if  $n$  is odd. This element is independent of the choice of basis and anticommutes with any  $c(v)$  where  $v \in T_x M$  if  $n$  is even and commutes if  $n$  is odd. Moreover,  $\gamma^2 = \mathbf{1}$  ([31], 3.17). The *chirality operator*  $\gamma$  is the section of  $\text{End}(E)$  that restricts to the element above on each fiber. The bundle map  $\gamma$  has eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ , and we can define subbundles

$$E_\gamma^\pm = \{\alpha \in E : \gamma\alpha = \pm\alpha\}.$$

The grading  $E = E_\gamma^+ \oplus E_\gamma^-$  is called the *grading induced by  $\gamma$  on  $E$*  or the *natural grading on  $E$* .

**8.5. The Dirac operator.** The *Dirac operator*  $D : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  associated to a Clifford connection  $\nabla^E$  is defined by the following composition

$$\Gamma(M, E) \xrightarrow{\nabla^E} \Gamma(M, T^*M \otimes E) \xrightarrow{c} \Gamma(M, E).$$

In local coordinates this operator may be written as

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n c(dx_i) \nabla_{\partial_i} : \Gamma(M, E^\pm) \longrightarrow \Gamma(M, E^\mp).$$

This is a first order elliptic operator. Moreover, it is formally self-adjoint and essentially self-adjoint with the initial domain smooth, compactly supported sections ([31], p. 119). Its *principal symbol* is given by

$$\sigma_D(x, \xi) = ic(\xi) : \Gamma(M, T_x^*M) \rightarrow \text{End}(E_x).$$

**8.6. The spin and spin<sup>c</sup> bundles.** Let  $M$  be an even dimensional oriented manifold with spin structure, and let  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$  be a complex spinor bundle over  $M$  with the grading induced by  $\gamma$ . It is a minimal Clifford module; i.e. for any other Clifford module  $E$  over  $M$  there is a vector bundle  $F$  such that we have an isomorphism of Clifford modules

$$E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes F,$$

where  $F = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cl}(TM)}(\mathbb{S}, E)$  and the Clifford action is trivial on the second factor ([31], sect. 3.3).

If the dimension of  $M$  is odd, then any Clifford module  $E$  over  $M$  is isomorphic to

$$E \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes F_1) \oplus (\mathbb{S} \otimes F_2)$$

where  $v \in \Gamma(M, TM)$  acts on  $\mathbb{S} \otimes F_1$  by  $c(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}$  and on  $\mathbb{S} \otimes F_2$  by  $c(-v) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ . In the odd case we denote the first action  $c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}$  and the second action  $c^-(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ .

A connection  $\nabla^E$  on the twisted Clifford module  $E = \mathbb{S} \otimes F$  is a Clifford connection if and only if

$$\nabla^E = \nabla^{\mathbb{S}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^F$$

for some connection  $\nabla^F$  on  $F$ .

Note that there are global obstructions to the existence of complex spinor bundles (see [31], 3.34); however, locally the decompositions above always exist.

Every spin manifold and every almost complex manifold has a canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure. In addition, every oriented, compact manifold of dimension  $\leq 3$  is spin<sup>c</sup> [68].

**8.7. Local classification of gradings.** The above results apply to Dirac operators over bundles with the natural grading — that induced directly from the grading on complex spinors. The following lemma classifies all possible gradings for Clifford representations (and thus Dirac operators).

Let  $V$  be an oriented, real Euclidean vector space, and let  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  be a complex vector space that is a graded  $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$ -module. Let  $\mathbb{S}$  denote the irreducible representation space of  $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$ . Let  $c(v)$  denote the Clifford multiplication by  $v \in V$  on  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$  when  $V$  is even-dimensional, and let  $c^+(v) : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  and  $c^-(v) := -c^+(v) : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  be the Clifford multiplications that generate the two nonequivalent irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$  when  $V$  is odd-dimensional. We let

$$c^E(v) : E^\pm \rightarrow E^\mp$$

denote the Clifford action by  $v$  on the graded vector space  $E$ .

**Lemma 8.1.** *With the notation described above, there exists a complex vector space  $W$  such that*

- (1)  $E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes W$ , where  $W \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(V)}(\mathbb{S}, E)$ .
- (2) *If the dimension of  $V$  is even, then the associated Clifford action on  $\mathbb{S} \otimes W$  is  $c(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ . In addition,  $W = W^+ \oplus W^-$  for some orthogonal subspaces  $W^\pm$  of  $W$ , and  $E^\pm \cong (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^\pm) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes W^\mp)$ .*
- (3) *If the dimension of  $V$  is odd, then there exists an orthogonal decomposition  $W = W' \oplus W'$ , such that*

$$E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes W \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes W') \oplus (\mathbb{S} \otimes W'),$$

*the induced action of  $c^E(v)$  on  $(\mathbb{S} \otimes W') \oplus (\mathbb{S} \otimes W')$  is given by*

$$(c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}, c^-(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}, c^+(v) \otimes -\mathbf{1}),$$

*and*

$$E^\pm \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes \text{span} \{(w, \pm w) \in W' \oplus W' \mid w \in W'\}.$$

*Proof.* The first statement follows directly from the general facts about the representation theory of Clifford algebras; see Section 8.6.

To prove (2), observe that the even part of the Clifford algebra  $\mathbb{C}\ell^+(V)$  acts by endomorphisms on  $E^+$  and  $E^-$ . This leads to a representation of  $\text{spin}^c(n) \subset \mathbb{C}\ell^+(V)$  on  $E^+$  and  $E^-$ . There are exactly two nonequivalent irreducible representations of  $\text{spin}^c(n)$ , given by the actions of  $\mathbb{C}\ell^+$  on  $\mathbb{S}^+$  and  $\mathbb{S}^-$ ; see [68, p. 432]. Thus, there are complex vector spaces  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  such that  $E^+ \cong (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^+) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes W^-)$ , which implies that  $E^- \cong (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes W^+) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^-)$ .

To prove (3), where  $V$  is odd-dimensional, observe that there is a unique irreducible representation of  $\text{spin}^c(n)$ , given by the action of  $\mathbb{C}\ell^+$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ . We have  $E = E^+ \oplus E^- \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes W^+) \oplus (\mathbb{S} \otimes W^-) \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes (W^+ \oplus W^-)$  with the Clifford action on the last term being  $c^E(v) = c^+(v) \otimes J$ . Here  $J : W^\pm \rightarrow W^\mp$ . The operator  $J$  must be Hermitian and squares to identity (since  $c^E(v)$  is skew-hermitian and squares to  $-\mathbf{1}$ ).

Now let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $W^+$ ; then  $\{Je_1, \dots, Je_k\}$  must be an orthonormal basis of  $W^-$ . Thus  $W$  has an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k, Je_1, \dots, Je_k\}$ . We introduce a new decomposition of  $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$ , where  $W_1 = \text{span} \{e_1 + Je_1, \dots, e_k + Je_k\}$

and  $W_2 = \text{span} \{e_1 - Je_1, \dots, e_k - Je_k\}$ . Then  $J$  is the identity on  $W_1$  and minus the identity on  $W_2$ , and we can decompose  $E$  as

$$E \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes W_1) \oplus (\mathbb{S} \otimes W_2),$$

where  $c^E(v)$  acts by  $(c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}, c^+(v) \otimes -\mathbf{1})$ . The conclusion (3) follows from the observation that  $W' := W_1 \cong W_2$ , where the isomorphism maps each  $e_m + Je_m$  to  $e_m - Je_m$ .  $\square$

**8.8. Global classification of gradings.** Let  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$  be a graded, self-adjoint, Hermitian  $\text{Cl}(TM)$ -module over  $M$ . Suppose that  $M$  is  $\text{spin}^c$ . Choose a  $\text{spin}^c$  structure on  $M$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the corresponding  $\text{spin}^c$  bundle over  $M$ , so that the representation of  $\text{Cl}(TM)$  is irreducible on  $\mathbb{S}$  and  $\text{Cl}(TM) \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{S})$ . Let  $c(v)$  denote the Clifford multiplication by  $v \in TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$  on  $\mathbb{S}$ ;  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$  if  $M$  is even-dimensional. Let  $c^+(v) : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  and  $c^-(v) := -c^+(v) : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  be the Clifford multiplications that generate the two irreducible representations of  $\text{Cl}(TM)$  when  $M$  is odd-dimensional. We let

$$c^E(v) : E^\pm \rightarrow E^\mp$$

denote the Clifford multiplication by  $v$  on the graded vector bundle  $E$ .

**Corollary 8.2.** *(Classification of bundles of graded Clifford modules) If  $M$  is  $\text{spin}^c$  with the above notation, there exists a complex vector bundle  $W$  such that*

- (1)  $E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes W$ , where  $W \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cl}(M)}(\mathbb{S}, E)$ .
- (2) When  $M$  is even-dimensional, the associated Clifford action on  $\mathbb{S} \otimes W$  is  $c(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ . Then  $W = W^+ \oplus W^-$  for some orthogonal vector subbundles  $W^\pm$  of  $W$ , and  $E^\pm \cong (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^\pm) \oplus (\mathbb{S}^- \otimes W^\mp)$ .
- (3) When  $M$  is odd-dimensional, there exists an orthogonal decomposition  $W = W' \oplus W'$ , such that

$$E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes W \cong (\mathbb{S} \otimes W') \oplus (\mathbb{S} \otimes W'),$$

the induced action of  $c^E(v)$  on  $(\mathbb{S} \otimes W') \oplus (\mathbb{S} \otimes W')$  is given by

$$(c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}, c^-(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (c^+(v) \otimes \mathbf{1}, c^+(v) \otimes -\mathbf{1}),$$

and

$$E^\pm \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes \text{span} \{(w, \pm w) \in W' \oplus W' \mid w \in W'\}.$$

Finally, if  $M$  is not  $\text{spin}^c$ , then the relevant facts above are true locally but not globally; that is, the bundles  $\mathbb{S}$ ,  $W$ ,  $W^\pm$ ,  $W'$  can be defined on a sufficiently small neighborhood of any given point of  $M$ , and the properties above are true over that neighborhood, but  $\mathbb{S}$  cannot be extended to a globally defined  $\text{spin}^c$  bundle.

*Proof.* The fact that  $E \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes W$  in both the odd and even cases follows by setting  $W = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cl}}(\mathbb{S}, E)$ , the bundle maps from  $\mathbb{S}$  to  $E$  that are  $\text{Cl}(TM)$ -equivariant. (In the odd case, one must fix an irreducible representation  $c^+$  on  $\mathbb{S}$  once and for all.) The isomorphism  $\mathbb{S} \otimes W \rightarrow E$  is given by  $s \otimes w \mapsto w(s)$ .

In the even case, observe that the bundles  $W^\pm$  may be defined globally by noting that for example  $(\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W^\pm) = E^\pm \cap (\mathbb{S}^+ \otimes W)$ , where we have abused notation using the isomorphism  $\mathbb{S} \otimes W \rightarrow E$ .

In the odd case, observe that in the proof of Lemma 8.1, the representation theory alone determines the bundles  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  from  $W$ , and the construction of  $W'$  is canonical. The result follows.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. Math. Series II **75** (1962), no. 3, 603–632.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators I*, Ann. Math. Series II **87**(1968), no. 3, 484–530.
- [3] Z. M. Ahmed and D. W. Stroock, *A Hodge theory for some non-compact manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **54** (2000), no. 1, 177–225.
- [4] J. A. Alvarez López, *Morse inequalities for pseudogroups of local isometries*, J. Diff. Geom. **37** (1993), no. 3, 603–638.
- [5] V. Belfi, E. Park, and K. Richardson, *A Hopf index theorem for foliations*, Differential Geom. Appl. **18** (2003), 319–341.
- [6] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **298**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [7] N. Berline and M. Vergne, *The Chern character of a transversally elliptic symbol and the equivariant index*, Invent. Math. **124**(1996), no. 1–3, 11–49.
- [8] N. Berline and M. Vergne, *L'indice équivariant des opérateurs transversalement elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1–3, 51–101.
- [9] J.-M. Bismut, *The Witten complex and the degenerate Morse inequalities*, J. Differ. Geometry **23** (1986), 207–240.
- [10] J.-M. Bismut, *Demailly's asymptotic Morse inequalities: a heat equation proof*, J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), no. 2, 263–278.
- [11] J.-M. Bismut and S. Goette, *Holomorphic equivariant analytic torsions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 6, 1289–1422.
- [12] J.-M. Bismut and S. Goette, *Families torsion and Morse functions*, Astérisque No. **275** (2001).
- [13] J.-M. Bismut and S. Goette, *Equivariant de Rham torsions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 1, 53–216.
- [14] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang, *An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller. With an appendix by François Laudenbach*, Astérisque No. **205** (1992).
- [15] J.-M. Bismut, W. Zhang, *Milnor and Ray-Singer metrics on the equivariant determinant of a flat vector bundle*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), no. 2, 136–212.
- [16] R. Bott, *Morse theory indomitable*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. **68** (1988), 99–114.
- [17] M. Braverman, *Witten deformation of analytic torsion and the spectral sequence of a filtration*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 1, 28–50.
- [18] M. Braverman, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and symplectic reduction*, Topology **38** (1999), no. 1, 71–78.
- [19] M. Braverman, *New proof of the Cheeger-Müller theorem*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **23** (2003), no. 1, 77–92.
- [20] M. Braverman, *New proof of the Cobordism Invariance of the Index*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), no. 4, 1095–1101.
- [21] M. Braverman, Appendix J in V. Guillemin, V. Ginsburg, and Y. Karshon, *Moment maps, cobordisms, and hamiltonian group actions*. Mathematical surveys and monographs, **98**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [22] M. Braverman, M. Farber, *Novikov type inequalities for differential forms with non-isolated zeros*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **122** (1997), no. 2, 357–375.
- [23] M. Braverman, M. Farber, *Equivariant Novikov inequalities*, K-Theory **12** (1997), no. 4, 293–318.
- [24] E. L. Bueler, *The heat kernel weighted Hodge Laplacian on noncompact manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 2, 683–713.
- [25] E. L. Bueler, I. Prokhorenkov, *Hodge theory and cohomology with compact supports*, Soochow J. Math. **28** (2002), no. 1, 33–55.

- [26] D. Burghelaea, *Lectures on Witten-Helffer-Sjöstrand theory*, Proceedings of the Third International Workshop on Differential Geometry and its Applications and the First German-Romanian Seminar on Geometry (Sibiu, 1997). Gen. Math. **5** (1997), 85–99.
- [27] D. Burghelaea, *A short course on Witten-Helffer-Sjöstrand theory*, preprint at arXiv:Math.DG/0101063
- [28] D. Burghelaea, L. Friedlander, and T. Kappeler, *Asymptotic expansion of the Witten deformation of the analytic torsion*, J. Funct. Anal. **137** (1996), no. 2, 320–363.
- [29] D. Burghelaea, L. Friedlander, T. Kappeler, *Witten deformation of the analytic torsion and the Reidemeister torsion*, Voronezh Winter Mathematical Schools, 23–39, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **184**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [30] D. Burghelaea, L. Friedlander, T. Kappeler, P. McDonald, *Analytic and Reidemeister torsion for representations in finite type Hilbert modules*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 5, 751–859.
- [31] N. Berline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **298**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [32] D. Burghelaea and S. Haller, *On the topology and analysis of a closed one form. I (Novikov's theory revisited)*. Essays on geometry and related topics, Vol. 1, 2, 133–175, Monogr. Enseign. Math., **38**, Enseignement Math., Geneva, 2001.
- [33] M. Calaza, *A Witten style proof of Morse inequalities for orbit spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **44** (2000), no. 2, 246–262.
- [34] P. Chernoff, *Essential self-adjointness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **12** (1973), 401–414.
- [35] M. Craioveanu, M. Puta, and T. Rassias, *Old and new aspects in spectral geometry. Mathematics and its Applications*, **534**. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [36] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsh, and B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with applications to quantum mechanics and global geometry*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag.
- [37] J.-P. Demailly, *Champs magnétiques et inégalités de Morse pour la  $d''$ -cohomologie*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **35** (1985), no. 4, 189–229.
- [38] J.-P. Demailly, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities. Several complex variables and complex geometry*, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), 93–114, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **52**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [39] A. El Soufi and X. P. Wang, *Some remarks on Witten's method. Poincaré-Hopf theorem and Atiyah-Bott formula*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **5** (1987), no. 3, 161–178.
- [40] K. D. Elworthy and S. Rosenberg, *The Witten Laplacian on negatively curved simply connected manifolds*, Tokyo J. Math. **16** (1993), no. 2, 513–524.
- [41] M. Farber and E. Shustin, *Witten deformation and polynomial differential forms*, Geom. Dedicata **80** (2000), no. 1–3, 125–155.
- [42] H. Feng, E. Guo, *Novikov-type inequalities for vector fields with non-isolated zero points*, Pacific J. Math. **201** (2001), no. 1, 107–120.
- [43] H. Feng, E. Guo, *A Hopf index theorem for a real vector bundle*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **23** (2002), no. 4, 507–518.
- [44] R. Forman, *Spectral sequences and adiabatic limits*, Comm. Math. Phys. **168** (1995), no. 1, 57–116.
- [45] R. Forman, *Witten-Morse theory for cell complexes*, Topology **37** (1998), no. 5, 945–979.
- [46] R. Forman, *Combinatorial Novikov-Morse theory*, Internat. J. Math. **13** (2002), no. 4, 333–368.
- [47] S. Goette, *Equivariant  $\eta$ -invariants and  $\eta$ -forms*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **526** (2000), 181–236.
- [48] F.-Z. Gong, F.-Y. Wang, *Spectrum estimates on Hilbert bundles with applications to vector bundles over Riemannian manifolds*, Q. J. Math. **52** (2001), no. 2, 171–180.
- [49] F.-Z. Gong, F.-Y. Wang, *Heat kernel estimates with application to compactness of manifolds*. Q. J. Math. **52** (2001), no. 2, 171–180.
- [50] F.-Z. Gong, F.-Y. Wang, *On Gromov's theorem and  $L^2$ -Hodge decomposition*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2004**, no. 1–4, 25–44.
- [51] B. Helffer, *Semi-classical analysis for the Schrödinger operator and applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1336**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. vi+107 pp.
- [52] B. Helffer, *Remarks on decay of correlations and Witten Laplacians, Brascamp-Lieb inequalities and semiclassical limit*, J. Funct. Anal. **155** (1998), no. 2, 571–586.



- [53] B. Helffer, *Semiclassical analysis, Witten Laplacians, and statistical mechanics*, Series on Partial Differential Equations and Applications, **1**. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [54] B. Helffer, F. Nier, *Hypoelliptic estimates and spectral theory for Fokker-Planck operators and Witten Laplacians*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1862. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. x+209 pp. ISBN: 3-540-24200-7.
- [55] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *Puits multiples en mécanique semi-classique, IV. Etude du complexe de Witten*, Commun. in Partial Differ. Equations **10**(3), 245-340 (1985).
- [56] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, *A proof of the Bott inequalities*, Algebraic analysis, Vol. I, 171-183, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [57] G. Henniart, *Les inégalités de Morse (d'après E. Witten)*, Seminar Bourbaki, Vol. 1983/84. Astérisque No. **121-122** (1985), 43-61.
- [58] Z. Jianwei, *A note on the degenerate Morse inequalities*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **42** (2000), 153-159.
- [59] J. Johnsen, *On the spectral properties of Witten-Laplacians, their range projections and Brascamp-Lieb's inequality*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **36** (2000), no. 3, 288-324.
- [60] Y. Kordyukov, V. Mathai, M. Shubin, *Equivalence of spectral projections in semiclassical limit and a vanishing theorem for higher traces in K-theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle Journal), vol. **581** (2005) 193-236.
- [61] H. B. Lawson, Jr. and M-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series **38**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1989.
- [62] X.-M. Li, *On extensions of Myers' theorem*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **27** (1995), no. 4, 392-396.
- [63] V. Mathai,  *$L^2$  invariants of covering spaces*, Geometric analysis and Lie theory in mathematics and physics, 209-242, Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser., **11**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [64] V. Mathai, M. A. Shubin, *Twisted  $L^2$  invariants of non-simply connected manifolds and asymptotic  $L^2$  Morse inequalities*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **4** (1996), no. 4, 499-526.
- [65] V. Mathai, S. Wu, *Equivariant holomorphic Morse inequalities. I. Heat kernel proof*. J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997), no. 1, 78-98.
- [66] V. Mathai, S. G. Yates, *Discrete Morse theory and extended  $L^2$  homology*. J. Funct. Anal. **168** (1999), no. 1, 84-110.
- [67] R. R. Mazzeo, R. B. Melrose, *The adiabatic limit, Hodge cohomology and Leray's spectral sequence for a fibration*, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 1, 185-213.
- [68] L. I. Nicolaescu, *Lectures on the geometry of manifolds*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [69] S. P. Novikov and M. A. Shubin, *Morse inequalities and von Neumann  $II_1$ -factors*. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **289** (1986), no. 2, 289-292.
- [70] R. S. Palais, *Seminar on the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*, Annals of Math. Stud. **57**, Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1965.
- [71] P.-E. Paradan, *Spin- $c$  quantization and the K-multiplicities of the discrete series*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **36** (2003), no. 5, 805-845.
- [72] A. V. Pazhitnov, *On the sharpness of inequalities of Novikov type for manifolds with a free abelian fundamental group*, Mat. Sb. **180** (1989), no. 11, 1486-1523, 1584; translation in Math. USSR-Sb. **68** (1991), no. 2, 351-389.
- [73] I. Prokhorenkov, *Morse-Bott functions and the Witten Laplacian*. Commun in Analysis and Geometry, **7**(4), 841-918, 1999.
- [74] E. G. Rees, private communication.
- [75] E. G. Rees, *Linear spaces of real matrices of given rank*, Homotopy theory and its applications (Cocoyoc, 1993), Contemp. Math. **188** (1995), 219-229.
- [76] J. Roe, *Elliptic operators, topology, and asymptotic methods*, Pitman Research Notes in Math. **179**, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1988.
- [77] B. Simon, *Semi-classical analysis of low lying eigenvalues I. Non-degenerate minima. Asymptotic expansions*, Annalen Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect A (N.S.) **38**(3), 295-307 (1983); Errata: 40(2), 224 (1984).
- [78] M. A. Shubin, *Semiclassical asymptotics on covering manifolds and Morse inequalities*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 2, 370-409.
- [79] M. A. Shubin, *Novikov inequalities for vector fields*, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1993-1995, 243-274, Gelfand Math. Sem., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.

- [80] M. A. Shubin, *De Rham theorem for extended  $L^2$ -cohomology*. Voronezh Winter Mathematical Schools, 217–231, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 184, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [81] Y. T. Siu, *Asymptotic Morse inequalities for analytic sheaf cohomology*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1985/86. Astérisque No. **145-146** (1987), 5, 283–297.
- [82] J. Sjöstrand, *Correlation asymptotics and Witten Laplacians*, Algebra i Analiz 8 (1996), no. **1**, 160–191; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 8 (1997), no. 1, 123–147.
- [83] H.-K. Wai, *Witten-Helffer-Sjöstrand theory for  $S^1$ -equivariant cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 6, 2141–2182.
- [84] W.-M. Wang, Supersymmetry, *Witten complex and asymptotics for directional Lyapunov exponents in  $Z^d$* , Journées "Équations aux Dérivées Partielles" (Saint-Jean-de-Monts, 1999), Exp. No. XVIII, Univ. Nantes, Nantes, 1999.
- [85] E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse Theory*, J. Differ. Geometry, **17**, 661–692 (1982).
- [86] E. Witten, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities*. In: Algebraic and Differential Topology - Global Differential Geometry, G.M. Rassias ed., Teubner-texte zur Mathematik **70**, 318–333 (1984).
- [87] E. Witten, *Index of Dirac operators*, Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. 1, 475–511, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [88] S. Wu, *Equivariant holomorphic Morse inequalities. II. Torus and non-abelian group actions*. J. Differential Geom. **51** (1999), no. 3, 401–429.
- [89] S. Wu, W. Zhang, *Equivariant holomorphic Morse inequalities. III. Non-isolated fixed points*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **8** (1998), no. 1, 149–178.
- [90] Y. Yu, *A semi-classical limit and its applications*, Geometry and topology of submanifolds, X (Beijing/Berlin, 1999), 315–335, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [91] W. Zhang, *Analytic and topological invariants associated to nowhere zero vector fields*, Pacific J. Math. **187** (1999), no. 2, 379–398.
- [92] W. Zhang, *Lectures on Chern-Weil theory and Witten deformations*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, **4**. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY, BOX 298900, FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76129

*E-mail address:* i.prokhorenkov@tcu.edu, k.richardson@tcu.edu